arXiv:1401.1370v1 [gr-qc] 7 Jan 2014

January 8, 2014

Hamiltonian Expression of Curvature Tensors in the York Canonical Basis: I) The Riemann Tensor and Ricci Scalars

Luca Lusanna

Sezione INFN di Firenze
Polo Scientifico, Via Sansone 1,
50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy
E-mail: lusanna@fi.infn.it

Mattia Villani

Dipartimento di Fisica,
Universita' di Firenze
Polo Scientifico, Via Sansone 1,
50019 Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy
E-mail: villani@fi.infn.it

Abstract

By using the York canonical basis of ADM tetrad gravity, in a formulation using radar 4-coordinates for the parametrization of the 3+1 splitting of the space-time, it is possible to write the 4-Riemann tensor of a globally hyperbolic, asymptotically Minkowskian space-time as a Hamiltonian tensor, whose components are 4-scalars with respect to the ordinary world 4-coordinates, plus terms vanishing due to Einstein's equations. Therefore "on-shell" we find the expression of the Hamiltonian 4-Riemann tensor. Moreover, the 3+1 splitting of the space-time used to define the phase space allows us to introduce a Hamiltonian set of null tetrads and to find the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Ricci scalars of the Newman-Penrose formalism.

This material will be used in the second paper to study the 4-Weyl tensor, the 4-Weyl scalars and the four Weyl eigenvalues and to clarify the notions of Dirac and Bergmann observables.

I. INTRODUCTION.

In general relativity (GR) the curvature tensors of the space-time solution of Einstein equations (or of their existing modifications) play a basic role connecting the structure of the space-time to the matter present in it. The geometrical and tensorial properties of the Riemann, Ricci and Weyl tensors are well understood and are exposed in all the books on GR. These tensors are functions of the 4-metric tensor (and of its gradients) of the space-time, which is the geometrical quantity describing the gravitational field. However the Hamiltonian expression of these tensors has still to be investigated.

At the Lagrangian level we have the Hilbert action implying Einstein's equations as its Euler-Lagrange equations. This action is invariant under the local Noether transformations generated by the group of passive diffeomorphisms (world 4-coordinate transformations) of the space-time 4-manifold. These Lagrangian gauge transformations imply that only two combinations of Einstein's equations depend on the second time derivatives of the 4-metric (the accelerations). As a consequence the general covariance of the theory implies that 8 of the 10 degrees of freedom described by the 4-metric have to be considered as Lagrangian gauge variables. However we are still lacking the identification at the Lagrangian level not only of these 8 gauge variables but also of the two physical (i.e. invariant under Lagrangian gauge transformations) degrees of freedom of the gravitational field as 4-scalar functionals of the 4-metric. Only in the linearized theory in harmonic gauges they are known to be connected with the two polarizations of the gravitational waves.

Only at the Hamiltonian level in the canonical ADM formulation of GR [1] there is a technology (Dirac's theory of constraints [2] and the Shanmugadhasan canonical transformations [3]) for facing the problem of the identification of the two pairs of canonical variables describing the independent physical degrees of freedom of the gravitational field (the so-called Dirac observables (DO)). These two pairs of conjugated DO's are functionals of the ten fields of the 4-metric and of the ten conjugate momenta invariant under the Hamiltonian gauge transformations generated by the 8 (four primary and four secondary) first class constraints of the theory. Since the definition of phase space requires a 3+1 splitting of the space-time (an identification of what is "time" and what is "3-space" inside the Lorentzian 4-manifold), the Hamiltonian gauge transformations act on the so foliated space-time and their Legendre pull-back is not the Lagrangian group of the passive 4-diffeomorphisms of the space-time, but rather a group of dynamical symmetries of Einstein's equations having a non-null intersection with the Lagrangian Noether transformations (the 3-diffeomorphisms of the chosen 3-spaces).

Besides the DO's there are eight (four primary and four secondary) Hamiltonian *inertial gauge* degrees of freedom with the conjugate variables determined by the eight first class constraints of canonical ADM gravity. These Hamiltonian gauge variables describe the freedom in the choice of the non-inertial (due to the equivalence principle) reference frames centered on a time-like observer, in particular the choice of the instantaneous (generically non Euclidean) 3-spaces labeled by some time variable and parametrized with a set of 3-coordinates. The fixation of a gauge is made by adding four primary gauge-fixing constraints for the secondary first-class constraints: they determine the primary gauge variables. Then the preservation in time of these gauge fixings generates the secondary gauge fixings for the secondary gauge variables. At the Lagrangian level the analogue of the gauge fixings for the Hamiltonian gauge variables are the four coordinate conditions (and their preservation in time) selecting a world 4-coordinate system (think to the harmonic gauge conditions).

Therefore in a completely fixed gauge the world 4-coordinate system is completely determined both at the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian levels.

The non-linearity of the theory is the main obstacle to the completion of the program to find the gravitational DO's [1]. In particular it is not yet clear whether the DO's are tensorial quantities, i.e. whether they are 4-scalars like in the old proposal of Bergmann [5] (the so-called Bergmann observables (BO) of the gravitational field; see also Ref.[6, 7]).

In Ref.[8] there is a new Hamiltonian formulation of ADM tetrad gravity [2], which is needed if the matter contains fermions, for the family of globally hyperbolic, asymptotically flat space-times without super-translations. In them global non-inertial frames were defined by giving the world-line of a time-like observer and 3+1 splittings of the space-time, namely nice foliations with instantaneous 3-spaces (diffeomorphic to R^3), and by using Lorentz-scalar radar 4-coordinates [9–11] instead of the standard world 4-coordinates. The transition functions from the world 4-coordinates to the radar one's transform world tensors into radar tensors, whose components are 4-scalars of the space-time.

The phase space contains 16 fields (the components of the cotetrads) and 16 conjugate momenta. There are 14 first class constraints (10 primary and 4 secondary), so that there are 14 Hamiltonian inertial gauge variables and two pairs of Hamiltonian physical degrees of freedom (the tidal variables). In Ref.[12] it was found a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation to a canonical basis (the York basis [3]) adapted to the ten primary first class constraints, but not to the secondary ones (the super-Hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints). In the York basis the two physical degrees of freedom are the two eigenvalues of the spatial 3-metric with determinant one. They are 3-scalars of the 3-space, 4-scalars of the space-time and are DO's only with respect to the ten primary first class constraints. In a completely fixed Hamiltonian gauge there is a uniquely determined system of radar 4-coordinates and an associated system of world ones.

If one would be able to solve the super-Hamiltonian constraint (the Lichnerowicz equation) in its unknown, i.e. the determinant of the spatial 3-metric, and to solve the three super-momentum constraints in their unknowns, i.e. three suitable momenta of the York basis, it would be possible to find a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation to a final canonical basis adapted to all the 14 first class constraints. The two pairs of physical tidal variables in this basis would be a set of real DO's for canonical gravity with the property of being also BO's, being 4-scalar due to the use of radar 4-coordinates.

In the three papers of Ref.[14–16] (quoted as I, II, III, in the sequel) there is the York basis of ADM canonical gravity plus the electro-magnetic field plus positive-energy scalar particles. In the papers II and III there is the weak field Hamiltonian Post-Minkowskian (HPM) limit of the theory and its study in the family of (non-harmonic) 3-orthogonal Schwinger time gauges with applications to gravitational waves and dark matter.

In this paper we will first show that the canonical variables of the York basis, living in the 3-spaces of the 3+1 splitting of the space-time centered on a time-like observer are 4-scalars

[1] Only existence theorems have been found for DO's [4], but not any explicit construction of them.

[2] One uses the ADM Lagrangian with the 4-metric decomposed in terms of cotetrads.

[3] It is called York basis because one of the inertial gauge variables is the trace of the extrinsic curvature of the 3-spaces as sub-manifolds of the space-time: this quantity is known as the York time [13].

of the space-time due to the use of radar 4-coordinates.Therefore if these special coordinates are used in place of the ordinary world 4-coordinates we have a framework in which DO's and BO's for the gravitational field coincide.

Then we will find the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Riemann tensor in terms of the cotetrads (and of their gradients) of ADM tetrad gravity. By using Einstein's equations for expressing the 4-Ricci tensor in terms of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter (its Hamiltonian expression is known if there are not derivative couplings), we can express the 4-Riemann tensor as the sum of a Hamiltonian Riemann radar tensor in the York basis plus terms vanishing by using Einstein's equations (or their equivalent Hamilton equations in phase space). Therefore the Hamiltonian Riemann radar tensor becomes equal to the Riemann tensor only *on-shell* on the solution of the equations of motion.

Moreover, we can define a set of Hamiltonian null tetrads in the York basis and to get the Hamiltonian expression of Ricci scalars. This open the possibility to have a Hamiltonian reformulation of the Newman-Penrose formalism (see for instance Ref.[17]).

The results of this paper will be used in the second paper to get the Hamiltonian Weyl radar tensor (equal to the 4-Weyl tensor on-shell) and the Hamiltonian expression of the Weyl scalars of the Newman-Penrose formalism. This will also allow us to get the Hamiltonian expression of the four Weyl eigenvalues (4-scalars independent from the choice of the null tetrads), which have been used in Ref. [7] to give a physical identification of the mathematical points of the space-time 4-manifold. They will be shown to be neither DO's nor BO's due to their dependence on the Hamiltonian inertial gauge variables.

In Section II we make an extended review of ADM tetrad gravity in the York canonical basis, with an explicit clarification of the 4-scalar nature of its variables due to the use of radar 4-coordinates in the 3+1 splitting of the space-time needed to define the phase space. Moreover we express the 4-Ricci tensor as the sum of Einstein's equations plus the Hamiltonian expression of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter.

In Section III we give the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Christoffel symbols and the we find the Hamiltonian 4-Riemann radar tensor, which is equal to the 4-Riemann tensor plus terms vanishing when we impose Einstein's equations.

In Section IV we introduce a Hamiltonian set of null tetrads, which allows us to get the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Ricci scalars of the Newman-Penrose formalism.

Then there are some Conclusions and Appendix A containing the Hamiltonian expression of the 3-Riemann and 3-Ricci tensors of the 3-spaces of the 3+1 splitting of the space-time.

II. ADM TETRAD GRAVITY IN THE YORK CANONICAL BASIS: THE KINEMATICAL BACKGROUND

In this Section we make a review of the formulation of canonical ADM tetrad gravity in globally hyperbolic, topologically trivial, asymptotically Minkowskian space-times without super-translations given in Refs. [12, 14–16]. These space-times must also be without Killing symmetries, because, otherwise, at the Hamiltonian level one should introduce complicated sets of extra Dirac constraints for each existing Killing vector. Moreover the spatial 3-metric must have three distinct eigenvalues to avoid degenerate cases which can eventually be reached by adding the equality of two eigenvalues as first class constraints by hand. In

this class of space-times the ten *strong* asymptotic ADM Poincaré generators P^A_{ADM}, J^{AB}_{ADM} (they are fluxes through a 2-surface at spatial infinity) are well defined functionals of the 4-metric fixed by the boundary conditions at spatial infinity and of matter (when present). These ten strong generators can be expressed in terms of the weak asymptotic ADM Poincaré generators (integrals on the 3-space of suitable densities) plus first class constraints. The absence of super-translations implies that the ADM 4-momentum is asymptotically orthogonal to the instantaneous 3-spaces (they tend to a Euclidean 3-space at spatial infinity). As a consequence each 3-space of the global non-inertial frame is a *non-inertial rest frame* of the 3-universe. At spatial infinity there are asymptotic inertial observers carrying a flat tetrad whose spatial axes are identified by the fixed stars of star catalogues.

See Ref.[18] for a review of this approach to GR with a rich bibliography.

A. Tetrads and Cotetrads

Assume that the world-line $x^\mu(\tau)$ of an arbitrary time-like observer [4] carrying a standard atomic clock is given: τ is an arbitrary monotonically increasing function of the proper time of this clock. Then one gives an admissible 3+1 splitting of the asymptotically flat space-time, namely a nice foliation with space-like instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ. It is the mathematical idealization of a protocol for clock synchronization: all the clocks in the points of Σ_τ sign the same time of the atomic clock of the observer. The observer and the foliation define a global non-inertial reference frame after a choice of 4-coordinates. On each 3-space Σ_τ one chooses curvilinear 3-coordinates σ^r having the observer as origin.

The quantities $\sigma^A = (\tau; \sigma^r)$ are the Lorentz-scalar and observer-dependent *radar 4-coordinates*, first introduced by Bondi [11].

If $x^\mu \mapsto \sigma^A(x)$ is the coordinate transformation from world 4-coordinates x^μ having the observer as origin to radar 4-coordinates, its inverse $\sigma^A \mapsto x^\mu = z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ defines the *embedding* functions $z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ describing the 3-spaces Σ_τ as embedded 3-manifolds into the asymptotically flat space-time.

Let $z^\mu_A \tau, \sigma^u) = \partial\, z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)/\partial\, \sigma^A$ denote the gradients of the embedding functions with respect to the radar 4-coordinates. The space-like 4-vectors $z^\mu_r(\tau, \sigma^u)$ are tangent to Σ_τ, so that the unit time-like normal $l^\mu(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is proportional to $\epsilon^\mu{}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\, [z^\alpha_1\, z^\beta_2\, z^\gamma_3](\tau, \sigma^u)$ ($\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the Levi-Civita tensor). Instead $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^u)$ is a time-like 4-vector skew with respect to the 3-spaces leaves of the foliation [5].

In GR the dynamical fields are the components ${}^4g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ of the 4-metric and not the embeddings $x^\mu = z^\mu(\tau, \sigma^r)$ defining the admissible 3+1 splittings of space-time like in the parametrized Minkowski theories of special relativity [9, 10]. Now the gradients $z^\mu_A(\tau, \sigma^r)$ of the embeddings give the transition coefficients from radar to world 4-coordinates, so that

[4] An observer, or better a mathematical observer, is an idealization of a measuring apparatus containing an atomic clock and defining, by means of gyroscopes, a set of spatial axes (and then a, maybe orthonormal, tetrad with a convention for its transport) in each point of the world-line.

[5] In special relativity, see Refs. [8–10], one has $z^\mu_\tau(\tau, \sigma^r) = [N\, l^\mu + N^r\, z^\mu_r](\tau, \sigma^r)$ with $N(\tau, \sigma^r) = \epsilon\, [z^\mu_\tau\, l_\mu](\tau, \sigma^r) = 1 + n(\tau, \sigma^r) > 0$ and $N_r(\tau, \sigma^r) = -\epsilon\, [z^\mu_\tau\, \eta_{\mu\nu}\, z^\mu_r](\tau, \sigma^r)$ being the lapse and shift functions respectively of the global non-inertial frame of Minkowski space-time so defined.

the components ${}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\sigma^r) = z^\mu_A(\tau,\sigma^r)\, z^\nu_B(\tau,\sigma^r)\, {}^4g_{\mu\nu}(z(\tau,\sigma^r))$ of the 4-metric will be the dynamical fields in the ADM action [1].

Let us remark that *the ten quantities* ${}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\sigma^r)$ *are 4-scalars of the space-time due to the use of the Lorentz-scalar radar 4-coordinates.* In each 3-space Σ_τ considered as a 3-manifold with 3-coordinates σ^r (and not as a 3-sub-manifold of the space-time) ${}^4g_{\tau r}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is a 3-vector and ${}^4g_{rs}(\tau,\sigma^u)$ is a 3-tensor.

Therefore *all the components of "radar tensors", i.e. tensors expressed in radar 4-coordinates, are 4-scalars of the space-time.*

The 4-metric ${}^4g_{AB}$ has signature $\epsilon\,(+---)$ with $\epsilon = \pm$ (the particle physics, $\epsilon = +$, and general relativity, $\epsilon = -$, conventions). Flat indices (α), $\alpha = o, a$, are raised and lowered by the flat Minkowski metric ${}^4\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)} = \epsilon\,(+---)$. We define ${}^4\eta_{(a)(b)} = -\epsilon\,\delta_{(a)(b)}$ with a positive-definite Euclidean 3-metric. From now on we shall denote the curvilinear 3-coordinates σ^r with the notation $\vec{\sigma}$ for the sake of simplicity. Usually the convention of sum over repeated indices is used, except when there are too many summations. The symbol $\approx$ means Dirac weak equality, while the symbol $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ means evaluated by using the equations of motion. For the curvature tensors we use the conventions of Misner-Thorne-Wheeler [19], which has $\epsilon = -1$, with a minus sign with respect to Wald [20].

We shall work with the tetrads ${}^4E^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and the cotetrads ${}^4E^{(\alpha)}_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ [7, 20] ((α) are flat indices). To rebuild the original tetrads ${}^4E^\mu_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = z^\mu_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\, {}^4E^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ we must know explicitly the embedding $z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ of the instantaneous 3-spaces, so to be able to evaluate the transformation coefficients $z^\mu_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$.

Since the world-line of the time-like observer can be chosen as the origin of a set of the spatial world coordinates, i.e. $x^\mu(\tau) = (x^o(\tau); 0)$, it turns out that with this choice the space-like surfaces of constant coordinate time $x^o(\tau) = const.$ coincide with the dynamical instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ with $\tau = const.$. By using asymptotic flat tetrads $\epsilon^\mu_A = \delta^\mu_o\,\delta^\tau_A + \delta^\mu_i\,\delta^i_A$ (with ϵ^A_μ denoting the inverse flat cotetrads) and by choosing a coordinate world time $x^o(\tau) = x^o_o + \epsilon^o_\tau\,\tau = x^o_o + \tau$, one gets the following preferred embedding corresponding to these given world 4-coordinates $x^\mu = z^\mu(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = x^\mu(\tau) + \epsilon^\mu_r\,\sigma^r = \delta^\mu_o\,x^o_o + \epsilon^\mu_A\,\sigma^A$. This choice implies $z^\mu_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \epsilon^\mu_A$ and ${}^4g_{\mu\nu}(x = z(\tau,\vec{\sigma})) = \epsilon^A_\mu\,\epsilon^B_\nu\,{}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$.

To take into account the coupling of fermions to the gravitational field metric gravity has to be replaced with tetrad gravity. This can be achieved by decomposing the 4-metric on cotetrad fields

$$ {}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = E^{(\alpha)}_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\, {}^4\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\, E^{(\beta)}_B(\tau,\vec{\sigma}), \tag{2.1}$$

by putting this expression into the ADM action and by considering the resulting action, a functional of the 16 fields $E^{(\alpha)}_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, as the action for ADM tetrad gravity.

This leads to an interpretation of gravity based on a congruence of time-like observers endowed with orthonormal tetrads: in each point of space-time the time-like axis is the unit 4-velocity of the observer, while the spatial axes are a (gauge) convention for observer's gyroscopes. This framework was developed in Refs.[8, 19].

General tetrads ${}^4E^A_{(\alpha)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and cotetrads ${}^4E^{(\alpha)}_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are connected to the tetrads ${}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(\beta)}$ and cotetrads ${}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(\beta)}_A$ adapted to the 3+1 splitting (the time-like tetrad is the unit normal l^A

to Σ_τ; this choice corresponds to the so called *Schwinger time gauges*) by a point-dependent standard Lorentz boost for time-like orbits with boost parameters $\varphi_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ acting on the flat indices [6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^4E^A_{(\alpha)} \;=\; {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(\beta)}\, L^{(\beta)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(a)}), \qquad {}^4E^{(\alpha)}_A = L^{(\alpha)}{}_{(\beta)}(\varphi_{(a)})\, {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(\beta)}_A\,,\\
&{}^4g_{AB} \;=\; {}^4E^{(\alpha)}_A\, {}^4\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\, {}^4E^{(\beta)}_B = {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(\alpha)}_A\, {}^4\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)}\, {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(\beta)}_B\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

The adapted tetrads and cotetrads have the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(o)} \;=\; \frac{1}{1+n}\,(1;-n_{(a)}\,{}^3e^r_{(a)}) = l^A, \qquad {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)} = (0;{}^3e^r_{(a)}),\\
&{}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(o)}_A \;=\; (1+n)\,(1;\vec{0}) = \epsilon\, l_A, \qquad {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(a)}_A = (n_{(a)};{}^3e_{(a)r}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

where ${}^3e^r_{(a)}$ and ${}^3e_{(a)r}$ are triads and cotriads on Σ_τ, $N = 1+n > 0$ is the lapse function and $n_{(a)} = n_r\, {}^3e^r_{(a)} = n^r\, {}^3e_{(a)r}$ [7] are adapted shift functions. Both n and $n_{(a)}$ are 4-scalars of the space-time.

The adapted tetrads ${}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)}$ are defined modulo SO(3) rotations ${}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(a)} = R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(b)}$, ${}^3e^r_{(a)} = R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(b)}$, where $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are three point-dependent Euler angles. After having chosen an arbitrary point-dependent origin $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$, we arrive at the following adapted tetrads and cotetrads [$\bar{n}_{(a)} = \sum_b n_{(b)}\, R_{(b)(a)}(\alpha_{(e)})$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(o)} \;=\; {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^A_{(o)} = \frac{1}{1+n}\,(1;-\bar{n}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}) = l^A, \qquad {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(a)} = (0;{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}),\\
&{}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^{(o)}_A \;=\; {}^4\overset{\circ}{E}{}^{(o)}_A = (1+n)\,(1;\vec{0}) = \epsilon\, l_A, \qquad {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^{(a)}_A = (\bar{n}_{(a)};{}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

which we shall use as a reference standard.

Then Eqs.(2.2), namely

$$
{}^4E^A_{(\alpha)} = {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(o)}\, L^{(o)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(c)}) + {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(b)}\, R^T_{(b)(a)}(\alpha_{(c)})\, L^{(a)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(c)}),
\tag{2.5}
$$

[6] As a consequence, the flat indices (a) of the adapted tetrads and cotetrads and of the triads and cotriads on Σ_τ transform as Wigner spin-1 indices under the point-dependent SO(3) Wigner rotations associated with Lorentz transformations in the tangent plane to the space-time in the given point of Σ_τ. Instead the index (o) of the adapted tetrads and cotetrads is a local Lorentz scalar index.

[7] Since we use the positive-definite 3-metric $\delta_{(a)(b)}$, we shall use only lower flat spatial indices. Therefore for the cotriads we use the notation ${}^3e^{(a)}_r \overset{def}{=} {}^3e_{(a)r}$ with $\delta_{(a)(b)} = {}^3e^r_{(a)}\, {}^3e_{(b)r}$.

show that every point-dependent Lorentz transformation Λ in the tangent planes may be parametrized with the (Wigner) boost parameters $\varphi_{(a)}$ and the Euler angles $\alpha_{(a)}$, being the product $\Lambda = R\,L$ of a rotation and a boost.

The future-oriented unit normal to Σ_τ is

$$\begin{aligned} l_A &= \epsilon\,(1+n)\,\Big(1;\,0\Big), \qquad {}^4g^{AB}\,l_A\,l_B = \epsilon, \\ l^A &= \epsilon\,(1+n)\,{}^4g^{A\tau} = \frac{1}{1+n}\,\Big(1;\,-n^r\Big) = \frac{1}{1+n}\,\Big(1;\,-\bar{n}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

B. The 4-metric and the Canonical Variables.

The 4-metric has the following expression

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4g_{\tau\tau} &= \epsilon\,[(1+n)^2 - {}^3g^{rs}\,n_r\,n_s] = \epsilon\,[(1+n)^2 - \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}], \\ {}^4g_{\tau r} &= -\epsilon\,n_r = -\epsilon\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}, \\ {}^4g_{rs} &= -\epsilon\,{}^3g_{rs}, \qquad {}^3g_{rs} = {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(a)s}, \qquad {}^3g^{rs} = {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(a)}, \\ \\ {}^4g^{\tau\tau} &= \frac{\epsilon}{(1+n)^2}, \qquad {}^4g^{\tau r} = -\epsilon\,\frac{n^r}{(1+n)^2} = -\epsilon\,\frac{{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}}{(1+n)^2}, \\ {}^4g^{rs} &= -\epsilon\,({}^3g^{rs} - \frac{n^r\,n^s}{(1+n)^2}) = -\epsilon\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(b)}\,(\delta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2}), \\ \\ {}^3g &= \gamma = ({}^3e)^2, \quad {}^3e = det\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}, \quad \sqrt{|{}^4g|} = \frac{\sqrt{{}^3g}}{\sqrt{\epsilon\,{}^4g^{\tau\tau}}} = \sqrt{\gamma}\,(1+n) = {}^3e\,(1+n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

The 3-metric ${}^3g_{rs}$ has signature $(+++)$, so that we may put all the flat 3-indices *down*. We have ${}^3g^{ru}\,{}^3g_{us} = \delta^r_s$, $\partial_A\,{}^3g^{rs} = -{}^3g^{ru}\,{}^3g^{sv}\,\partial_A\,{}^3g_{uv}$. The shift functions n_r are 3-vectors of the 3-spaces Σ_τ and 4-scalars (like $\bar{n}_{(a)}$) of the space-time.

The conditions for having an admissible 3+1 splitting of space-time are [9, 14]:

a) $1 + n(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) > 0$ everywhere (the instantaneous 3-spaces never intersect each other);

b) $\epsilon\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) > 0$, i.e. $(1+n(\tau,\vec{\sigma}))^2 > [{}^3g^{rs}\,n_r\,n_s](\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ (the rotational velocity never exceeds the velocity of light c, so that the coordinate singularity of the rotating disk named "horizon problem" is avoided);

c) $\epsilon\,{}^4g_{rr}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -{}^3g_{rr}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) < 0$ (satisfied by the signature of ${}^3g_{rs}$), $[{}^4g_{rr}\,{}^4g_{ss} - ({}^4g_{rs})^2](\tau,\vec{\sigma}) > 0$ and $det\,\epsilon\,{}^4g_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -det\,{}^3g_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) < 0$ (satisfied by the signature of ${}^3g_{rs}$) so that $det\,{}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) < 0$; these conditions imply that ${}^3g_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ has

three definite positive eigenvalues $\lambda_r(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \Lambda_r^2(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$;

d) the space-time is asymptotically Minkowskian with the instantaneous 3-spaces orthogonal to the ADM 4-momentum at spatial infinity: they are non-inertial rest frames of the 3-universe (isolated system), there is an asymptotic Minkowski background 4-metric and there are asymptotic inertial observers whose spatial axes ϵ_r^μ are identified by the fixed stars.

As said in Ref.[12], in ADM canonical tetrad gravity the 16 configuration variables are: the 3 boost variables $\varphi_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$; the lapse and shift functions $n(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $n_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$; the cotriads ${}^3e_{(a)r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$. Their conjugate momenta are $\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\pi_n(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\pi_{n_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, ${}^3\pi^r_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$. There are 14 first-class constraints: A) the 10 primary constraints $\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\pi_n(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\pi_{n_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ and the 3 rotation constraints $M_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$ implying the gauge nature of the 3 Euler angles $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$; B) the 4 secondary super-Hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints $\mathcal{H}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$, $\mathcal{H}_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \approx 0$. As a consequence there are 14 gauge variables (the inertial effects) and two pairs of canonically conjugate physical degrees of freedom (the tidal effects). At this stage the basis of canonical variables for this formulation of tetrad gravity, naturally adapted to 7 of the 14 first-class constraints, is

$\varphi_{(a)}$	n	$n_{(a)}$	${}^3e_{(a)r}$
$\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}} \approx 0$	$\pi_n \approx 0$	$\pi_{n_{(a)}} \approx 0$	${}^3\pi^r_{(a)}$

(2.8)

From Eqs.(5.5) of Ref.[21] we assume the following (direction-independent, so to kill super-translations) boundary conditions at spatial infinity ($r = \sqrt{\sum_r (\sigma^r)^2}$; $\epsilon > 0$; $M = const.$): $n(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-(2+\epsilon)})$, $\pi_n(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-3})$, $n_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-\epsilon})$, $\pi_{n_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-3})$, $\varphi_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-(1+\epsilon)})$, $\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-2})$, ${}^3e_{(a)r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} \left(1+\frac{M}{2r}\right)\delta_{ar} + O(r^{-3/2})$, ${}^3\pi^r_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-5/2})$.

C. The York Canonical Basis.

In Ref.[12] we studied the following point canonical transformation (it is a Shanmugadhasan canonical transformation [3]) on the canonical variables (2.8), implementing the York map of Refs.[13, 22] and identifying a canonical basis adapted to the 10 primary first-class constraints . It leads to the following York canonical basis

$\varphi_{(a)}$	n	$n_{(a)}$	${}^3e_{(a)r}$
$\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}} \approx 0$	$\pi_n \approx 0$	$\pi_{n_{(a)}} \approx 0$	${}^3\pi^r_{(a)}$

$\longrightarrow$

$\varphi_{(a)}$ $\alpha_{(a)}$		n $\bar{n}_{(a)}$		θ^r	$\tilde{\phi}$	$R_{\bar{a}}$
$\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}} \approx 0$	$\pi^{(\alpha)}_{(a)} \approx 0$	$\pi_n \approx 0$	$\pi_{\bar{n}_{(a)}} \approx 0$	$\pi_r^{(\theta)}$	$\pi_{\tilde{\phi}}$	$\Pi_{\bar{a}}$

(2.9)

where ${}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r} = \sum_b {}^3e_{(b)r}\, R_{(b)(a)}(\alpha_{(e)})$ (with conjugate momenta ${}^3\bar{\pi}^r_{(a)}$), $\bar{n}_{(a)} = \sum_b n_{(b)}\, R_{(b)(a)}(\alpha_{(e)})$ are the cotriads and the shift functions at $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 0$ after the extraction of the rotation matrix $R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}))$, see after Eq.(2.3). Due to the use of radar 4-coordinates all the canonical variables of the York basis are 4-scalars of the space-time,

but they have different 3-tensorial behaviors inside the 3-spaces. θ^i and $\pi_{\tilde{\phi}}$ are the primary inertial gauge variables, while n and $\bar{n}_{(a)}$ are the secondary ones.

Since the 3-metric ${}^3g_{rs}$ is a real symmetric 3×3 matrix, it may be diagonalized with an *orthogonal* matrix $V(\theta^r)$, $V^{-1} = V^T$ ($\sum_u V_{ua}\, V_{ub} = \delta_{ab}$, $\sum_a V_{ua}\, V_{va} = \delta_{uv}$, $\sum_{uv} \epsilon_{wuv}\, V_{ua}\, V_{vb} = \sum_c \epsilon_{abc}\, V_{cw}$), $det\, V = 1$, depending on 3 Euler angles θ^r [8]. The gauge Euler angles θ^r give a description of the 3-coordinate systems on Σ_τ from a local point of view, because they give the orientation of the tangents to the three 3-coordinate lines through each point (their conjugate momenta are determined by the super-momentum constraints). However it is more convenient to choose the three gauge parameters as first kind coordinates $\theta^i(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ ($-\infty < \theta^i < +\infty$) on the O(3) group manifold, so that by definition we have $A_{ri}(\theta^n)\, \theta^i = \delta_{ri}\, \theta^i$. In this case we have $V_{ru}(\theta^i) = \left(e^{-\sum_i \hat{T}_i\, \theta^i}\right)_{ru}$, where $(\hat{T}_i)_{ru} = \epsilon_{rui}$ are the generators of the o(3) Lie algebra in the adjoint representation, and the Euler angles may be expressed as $\hat{\theta}^i = f^i(\theta^n)$. Since the Cartan matrix has the form $A(\theta^n) = \frac{1 - e^{-\sum_i \hat{T}_i\, \theta^i}}{\sum_i \hat{T}_i\, \theta^i}$, we get the following expansions around $\theta^i = 0$: $V_{ru}(\theta^i) \to_{\theta^i \to 0} \delta_{ru} - \epsilon_{rui}\, \theta^i + O(\theta^2)$, $A_{ru}(\theta^i) \to_{\theta^i \to 0} \delta_{ru} - \frac{1}{2}\, \epsilon_{rui}\, \theta^i + O(\theta^2)$, $B_{ru}(\theta^i) \to_{\theta^i \to 0} \delta_{ru} + \frac{1}{2}\, \epsilon_{rui}\, \theta^i + O(\theta^2)$.

In the York canonical basis we have (from now on we will use V_{ra} for $V_{ra}(\theta^n)$ to simplify the notation)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3e_{(a)r} &= \sum_b R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(b)r}, \qquad {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r} = \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\, Q_a\, V_{ra}, \\
{}^3e^r_{(a)} &= \sum_b R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(b)}, \qquad {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)} = \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\, Q_a^{-1}\, V_{ra},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4g_{\tau\tau} &= \epsilon \left[(1+n)^2 - \sum_a \bar{n}^2_{(a)}\right], \\
{}^4g_{\tau r} &= -\epsilon \sum_a \bar{n}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r} = -\epsilon\, \tilde{\phi}^{1/3} \sum_a Q_a\, V_{ra}\, \bar{n}_{(a)}, \\
{}^4g_{rs} &= -\epsilon\, {}^3g_{rs} = -\epsilon \sum_{uv} V_{ru}\, \lambda_u\, \delta_{uv}\, V^T_{vs} = -\epsilon \sum_a \left(V_{ra}\, \Lambda^a\right)\left(V_{sa}\, \Lambda^a\right) = \\
&= -\epsilon \sum_a {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)s} = -\epsilon\, \phi^4\, {}^3\hat{g}_{rs} = -\epsilon\, \tilde{\phi}^{2/3} \sum_a Q_a^2\, V_{ra}\, V_{sa}, \\
\Lambda_a &= \sum_u \delta_{au} \sqrt{\lambda_u} = \phi^2\, Q_a = \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\, Q_a, \qquad Q_a = e^{\sum_{\bar{a}}^{1,2} \gamma_{\bar{a}a}\, R_{\bar{a}}} = e^{\Gamma^{(1)}_a}, \\
&\sum_a \Gamma^{(1)}_a = 0, \qquad R_{\bar{a}} = \sum_a \gamma_{\bar{a}a}\, \Gamma^{(1)}_a, \\
\tilde{\phi} &= \phi^6 = \sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{det\, {}^3g} = {}^3\bar{e} = \Lambda_1\, \Lambda_2\, \Lambda_3, \qquad {}^3g^{rs} = \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3} \sum_a Q_a^{-2}\, V_{ra}\, V_{sa},
\end{aligned}
$$

[8] Due to the positive signature of the 3-metric, we define the matrix V with the following indices: V_{ru}. Since the choice of Shanmugadhasan canonical bases breaks manifest covariance, we will use the notation $V_{ua} = \sum_v V_{uv}\, \delta_{v(a)}$ instead of $V_{u(a)}$. We use the following types of indices: $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $\bar{a} = 1, 2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4g^{\tau\tau} &= \frac{\epsilon}{(1+n)^2}, \qquad {}^4g^{\tau r} = -\epsilon\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\frac{Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}}{(1+n)^2},\\
{}^4g^{rs} &= -\epsilon\,\tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,(\delta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2}),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3\pi^r_{(a)} &= \sum_b R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\,\bar{\pi}^r_{(b)} = \sum_b R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\Big[V_{rb}\,Q_b^{-1}\,(\tilde{\phi}\,\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} + \sum_{\bar{b}}\gamma_{\bar{b}b}\,\Pi_{\bar{b}}) +\\
&+ \sum_l^{l\neq b}\sum_{twi} Q_l^{-1}\,\frac{V_{rl}\,\epsilon_{blt}\,V_{wt}}{Q_l\,Q_b^{-1} - Q_b\,Q_l^{-1}}\,B_{iw}\,\pi_i^{(\theta)}\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_i^{(\theta)} &= -\sum_{lmrab} A_{ml}(\theta^n)\,\epsilon_{mir}\,R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(b)l}\,{}^3\bar{\pi}^r_{(a)},\\
\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} &= \frac{c^3}{12\pi\,G}\,{}^3K \approx \frac{1}{3\,{}^3e}\sum_{rab}{}^3\bar{\pi}^r_{(a)}\,R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(b)r},\\
\Pi_{\bar{a}} &= \sum_{rab}\gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,{}^3\bar{\pi}^r_{(a)}\,R_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(b)r}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.10}
$$

The set of numerical parameters $\gamma_{\bar{a}a}$ satisfies [23] $\sum_u \gamma_{\bar{a}u} = 0$, $\sum_u \gamma_{\bar{a}u}\,\gamma_{\bar{b}u} = \delta_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}$, $\sum_{\bar{a}}\gamma_{\bar{a}u}\,\gamma_{\bar{a}v} = \delta_{uv} - \frac{1}{3}$. Each solution of these equations defines a different York canonical basis. The new boundary conditions are $\Lambda_a(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \Big(\tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\,Q_a\Big)(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} 1 + \frac{M}{4r} + \frac{a_a}{r^{3/2}} + O(r^{-3})$, $\tilde{\phi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} 1 + O(r^{-1})$, $\pi_i^{(\theta)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-4})$, $\pi^{(\alpha)}_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-5/2})$, $\pi_{\tilde{\phi}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) \to_{r\to\infty} O(r^{-5/2})$. The angles $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $\theta^i(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ must tend to zero in a direction-independent way at spatial infinity.

In Eq.(2.10) the quantity ${}^3K(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ is the trace of the extrinsic curvature ${}^3K_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ of the instantaneous 3-spaces Σ_τ. In the York canonical basis the extrinsic curvature ${}^3K_{rs}$ has the following expression [12]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3K_{rs} &\approx -\frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\Big(\sum_a Q_a^2\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\,[2\sum_{\bar{b}}\gamma_{\bar{b}a}\,\Pi_{\bar{b}} - \tilde{\phi}\,\pi_{\tilde{\phi}}] +\\
&+ \sum_{ab} Q_a\,Q_b\,(V_{ra}\,V_{sb} + V_{rb}\,V_{sa})\sum_{twi}\frac{\epsilon_{abt}\,V_{wt}\,B_{iw}\,\pi_i^{(\theta)}}{Q_b\,Q_a^{-1} - Q_a\,Q_b^{-1}}\Big) =\\
&= \tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,\Big[\frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\sum_a Q_a^2\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\,\Big(\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\sum_{\bar{a}}\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,\Pi_{\bar{a}}\Big) +\\
&+ \sum_{ab}^{a\neq b}\sigma_{(a)(b)}\,Q_a\,Q_b\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

In the last line we introduced the expansion $\theta = -\epsilon\,{}^3K$ and the spatial components of the cotetrad-adapted shear $\sigma_{(a)(b)} = \sigma_{AB}\,{}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(a)}\,{}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^B_{(b)}$ of the Eulerian observers

[9] (see Refs.[14, 24] for more details). The components of the shear are $\sigma_{(a)(b)}|_{a\neq b} = -\frac{8\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\sum_{tw}\,\frac{\epsilon_{abt}\,V_{wt}}{Q_b\,Q_a^{-1}-Q_a\,Q_b^{-1}}\,\sum_i\,B_{iw}\,\pi_i^{(\theta)}$ and $\sigma_{(a)(a)} = -\frac{8\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\sum_{\bar{a}}\,\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,\Pi_{\bar{a}}$ ($\sum_a\,\sigma_{(a)(a)} = 0$).

$\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $\varphi_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ are the 6 configuration variables parametrizing the O(3,1) gauge freedom in the choice of the tetrads in the tangent plane to each point of Σ_τ and describe the arbitrariness in the choice of a tetrad to be associated to a time-like observer, whose world-line goes through the point $(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$. They fix *the unit 4-velocity of the observer and the conventions for the orientation of gyroscopes and their transport along the world-line of the observer.*

The York time ${}^3K(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ is the only gauge variable among the momenta: this is a reflex of the Lorentz signature of space-time, because $\pi_{\tilde{\phi}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ and $\theta^n(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ can be used as a set of 4-coordinates [7]. Its conjugate variable, to be determined by the super-Hamiltonian constraint, is $\tilde{\phi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = \phi^6(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = {}^3\bar{e}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, which is the *3-volume density* on Σ_τ: $V_R = \int_R d^3\sigma\,\phi^6(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $R\subset\Sigma_\tau$. Since we have ${}^3g_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = [\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,{}^3\hat{g}_{rs}](\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ with $det\,{}^3\hat{g}_{rs}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = 1$, $\tilde{\phi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ is also called the conformal factor of the 3-metric.

The two pairs of canonical variables $R_{\bar{a}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\Pi_{\bar{a}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma}) = -\frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\,\tilde{\phi}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,\sum_a\,\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,\sigma_{(a)(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\bar{a}=1,2$, describe the generalized *tidal effects*, namely the independent degrees of freedom of the gravitational field. In particular the configuration tidal variables $R_{\bar{a}}$ depend *only on the eigenvalues of the 3-metric*. They are DO *only* with respect to the gauge transformations generated by 10 of the 14 first class constraints. Let us remark that, if we fix completely the gauge and we go to Dirac brackets, then the only surviving dynamical variables $R_{\bar{a}}$ and $\Pi_{\bar{a}}$ become two pairs of *non canonical* DO for that gauge.

The gauge variables $\theta^i(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $n(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$, $\bar{n}_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ describe inertial effects, which are the the relativistic counterpart of the non-relativistic ones (the centrifugal, Coriolis,... forces in Newton mechanics in accelerated frames) and which are present also in the non-inertial frames of Minkowski space-time [9]. The unknowns in the super-momentum constraints are the momenta $\pi_i^{(\theta)} = \frac{c^3}{8\pi G}\,\tilde{\phi}\,\sum_{ab}\,\epsilon_{iab}\,Q_a\,Q_b^{-1}\,\sigma_{(a)(b)}|_{a\neq b}$; however, it is more convenient to solve these constraints in the shear components $\sigma_{(a)(b)}|_{a\neq b}$.

In the following we shall work in the *Schwinger time gauge* $\varphi_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\approx 0$ (tetrads adapted to the 3+1 splitting) and $\alpha_{(a)}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\approx 0$ (arbitrary choice of an origin for rotations), where ${}^3e_{(a)r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\approx {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$.

D. The 3-Geometry of the 3-Spaces

In the 3-space Σ_τ the 3-Christoffel symbols have the following expression [14]

[9] The surface-forming congruence of the Eulerian observers is defined by using the unit normal to the 3-spaces of Eq.(2.6) as 4-velocity field. One has $\theta = {}^4\nabla_A\,l^A\theta = {}^4\nabla_A\,l^A$ and $\sigma_{AB} = \sigma_{BA} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\,({}^3a_A\,l_B + {}^3a_B\,l_A) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\,({}^4\nabla_A\,l_B + {}^4\nabla_B\,l_A) - \frac{1}{3}\,\theta\,{}^3h_{AB}$, where ${}^3h_{AB} = {}^4g_{AB} - \epsilon\,l_A\,l_B$ is the projector onto the 3-space and ${}^3a = l^B\,{}^4\nabla_A\,l_B$ is the acceleration of the Eulerian observers.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3\Gamma^r_{uv} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^3g^{rs}\Big(\partial_u\,{}^3g_{vs}+\partial_v\,{}^3g_{us}-\partial_s\,{}^3g_{uv}\Big)= \\
&= \frac{1}{3}\,(\delta_{ru}\,\tilde\phi^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde\phi+\delta_{rv}\,\tilde\phi^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde\phi)-\frac{1}{3}\sum_{abs}Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\,V_{ub}\,V_{vb}\,\tilde\phi^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde\phi+ \\
&+ \sum_{\bar a a}\gamma_{\bar a a}\,V_{ra}\Big(V_{ua}\,\partial_v\,R_{\bar a}+V_{va}\,\partial_u\,R_{\bar a}\Big)-\sum_{\bar a abs}\gamma_{\bar a b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\,V_{ub}\,V_{vb}\,\partial_s\,R_{\bar a}+ \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_a V_{ra}\Big(\partial_u\,V_{va}+\partial_v\,V_{ua}\Big)+ \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{abs}Q_a^{-2}\,Q_b^2\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\Big[V_{ub}\Big(\partial_v\,V_{sb}-\partial_s\,V_{vb}\Big)+V_{vb}\Big(\partial_u\,V_{sb}-\partial_s\,V_{ub}\Big)\Big], \\
\sum_v {}^3\Gamma^v_{uv} &= \tilde\phi^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde\phi, \qquad (2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqs.(2.11) and (2.12) imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3K_{rs|u} &= \partial_u\,{}^3K_{rs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{ru}\,{}^3K_{vs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{su}\,{}^3K_{rv}= \\
&= \frac{2}{3}(\tilde\phi^{-1}\partial_u\tilde\phi)^3K_{rs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{ru}\,{}^3K_{vs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{su}\,{}^3K_{rv}+ \\
&+ \Big[\frac{4\pi G}{c^3}\sum_a Q_a^2\Big(2(\partial_u\Gamma_a)V_{ra}V_{sa}(\pi_{\tilde\phi}-2\tilde\phi^{-1}\sum_{\bar a}\gamma_{\bar a a}\Pi_{\bar a})+ \\
&+ V_{ra}V_{sa}\Big[\partial_u\pi_{\tilde\phi}-2\tilde\phi^{-1}\sum_{\bar a}\gamma_{\bar a a}((\tilde\phi^{-1}\partial_u\tilde\phi)\Pi_{\bar a}-\partial_u\Pi_{\bar a})\Big]+ \\
&+ \partial_u(V_{ra}V_{sa}(\pi_{\tilde\phi}-2\tilde\phi^{-1}\sum_{\bar a}\gamma_{\bar a a}\Pi_{\bar a}))\Big)+ \\
&+ \sum_{ab}^{a\neq b}Q_aQ_bV_{ra}V_{sb}\Big(\sigma_{(a)(b)}+\sigma_{(a)(b)}\partial_u(\Gamma_a+\Gamma_b)\Big)+\sum_{ab}^{a\neq b}\sigma_{(a)(b)}Q_aQ_b\partial_u(V_{ra}V_{sa})\Big] \qquad (2.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantity ${}^3K_{rs|u}$ will be needed for the Hamiltonian expression of the Riemann tensor.

See Appendix A for the lengthy Hamiltonian expression of the 3-Riemann and 3-Ricci tensors in the York basis.

E. The First Half of Hamilton Equations

In the York canonical basis the Dirac Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_D &= \frac{1}{c}\,\hat E_{ADM}+\int d^3\sigma\,\Big[n\,\mathcal{H}-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{(a)}\Big](\tau,\sigma^u)+\lambda_r(\tau)\,\hat P^r_{ADM}+ \\
&+ \int d^3\sigma\,\Big[\lambda_n\,\pi_n+\lambda_{\bar n_{(a)}}\,\pi_{\bar n_{(a)}}+\lambda_{\varphi_{(a)}}\,\pi_{\varphi_{(a)}}+\lambda_{\alpha(a)}\,\pi^{(\alpha)}_{(a)}\Big](\tau,\sigma^u), \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the weak ADM energy $\hat{E}_{ADM}$ given in Eqs. (3.45) and (B8) of Ref.[14], where Eqs. (3.42) and (3.44) give the super-momentum and super-Hamiltonian constraints respectively.

The λ's are arbitrary Dirac multipliers: at the Hamiltonian level they replace those Lagrangian velocities which are arbitrary due to the gauge freedom. The Dirac multiplier $\lambda_r(\tau)$ implements the rest frame condition $\hat{P}^r_{ADM} \approx 0$.

With this Hamiltonian one gets the Hamilton equations (see Ref.[14] for their explicit form), whose content is equivalent to Einstein's equations. These equations are divided in five groups:

A) The *contracted Bianchi identities*, namely the evolution equations for the solutions $\tilde{\phi}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $\pi_i^{(\theta)}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ of the super-Hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints: they are identities saying that, given a solution of the constraints on a Cauchy surface, it remains a solution also at later times.

B) The evolution equation for the four primary inertial gauge variables $\theta^i(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and ${}^3K(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ (the equation for the York time is the Raychaudhuri equation): these equations determine the lapse and the shift functions (the secondary inertial gauge variables) once four gauge-fixings for the primary gauge variables are given.

C) The equations $\partial_\tau\, n(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \lambda_n(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$ and $\partial_\tau\, \bar{n}_{(a)}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \lambda_{\bar{n}_{(a)}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$. Once the lapse and shift functions of the chosen gauge have been found, they determine the associated Dirac multipliers.

D) The *hyperbolic* evolution partial differential equations (PDE) for the tidal variables $R_{\bar{a}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$, $\Pi_{\bar{a}}(\tau, \vec{\sigma})$.

E) The Hamilton equations for matter, when present.

Given a solution of the super-momentum and super-Hamiltonian constraints and the Cauchy data for the tidal variables on an initial 3-space after having fixed the gauge, one can find a solution of Einstein's equations in radar 4-coordinates adapted to a time-like observer in the chosen gauge.

To find the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Christoffel symbols and of the 4-Riemann tensor we only need the velocities $\partial_\tau\, {}^4g_{AB}$, namely only the following three equations, belonging to the first half of Hamilton equations (the kinematical ones; the equation for the 3-metric can also be obtained from Eq.(2.11) for the extrinsic curvature) are

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\tau\, n(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \lambda_n(\tau, \vec{\sigma}),\\
\partial_\tau\, \bar{n}_{(a)}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &\stackrel{\circ}{=} \lambda_{\bar{n}(a)}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}),\\
\partial_\tau\, {}^3g_{rs}(\tau, \vec{\sigma}) &= \Big(\tilde{\phi}^{2/3} \sum_a Q_a^2 \Big[2\,(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_\tau\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_\tau\,\Gamma_a^{(1)})\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa} + \partial_\tau\,(V_{ra}\,V_{sa})\Big]\Big)(\tau, \vec{\sigma}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

F. Einstein Equations

The 4-Ricci tensor is determined by Einstein equations (G is the Newton constant and the energy-momentum tensor is defined as $T^{AB} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{|det\, {}^4g|}}\,\frac{\delta S}{\delta\, {}^4g_{AB}}$)

$$^4G^{AB} \;=\; {}^4R^{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g^{AB}\,{}^4R \;\overset{\circ}{=}\; \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,T^{AB},$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$E_{AB} \overset{def}{=} {}^4R_{AB} - \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\overset{\circ}{=}0, \qquad \hat{T}_{AB} = T_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g_{AB}\,T, \quad T = {}^4g^{AB}\,T_{AB}. \tag{2.16}$$

As shown in Refs.[14, 24] the Hamiltonian version of the matter energy-momentum tensor $T^{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ (depending upon the 4-metric ${}^4g_{AB}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$) in radar 4-coordinates on Σ_τ has:
1) $T^{\tau\tau} = \frac{\mathcal{M}}{{}^3e\,(1+n)^2}$ depending upon the matter mass-energy density $\mathcal{M}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$;
2) $T^{\tau r} = \frac{1}{{}^3e\,(1+n)^2}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\Big[(1+n)\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(a)}\,\mathcal{M}_s - \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\mathcal{M}\Big]$ depending upon the matter mass-energy density and upon the matter 3-momentum density $\mathcal{M}_r(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$;
3) T^{rs} with a form depending upon the given matter.

The tetradic components of the energy-momentum tensor in the adapted basis (2.4) are

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{(\alpha)(\beta)} \;&=\; {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(\alpha)}\,{}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^B_{(\beta)}\,T_{AB},\\
&\quad T_{(o)(o)} = \frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\,\Big[T_{\tau\tau} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,T_{\tau r}\,V_{ra} +\\
&+\; \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\,T_{rs}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\Big],\\
&\quad T_{(o)(a)} = \frac{\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}}{1+n}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,\Big(T_{\tau r} - \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,Q_b^{-1}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\,T_{rs}\,V_{sb}\Big),\\
&\quad T_{(a)(b)} = \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,T_{rs}\,V_{sb}\,,\\
&\quad T_{rs} = \tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,Q^1_a\,Q^1_b\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,T_{(a)(b)},\\
&\quad T_{\tau r} = \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\,Q_a\,V_{ra}\,\Big((1+n)\,T_{(o)(a)} + T_{(a)(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\Big),\\
&\quad T_{\tau\tau} = (1+n)^2\,T_{(o)(o)} + 2\,(1+n)\,T_{(o)(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)} +\\
&+\; T_{(a)(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Therefore the 4-Ricci tensor can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau\tau} &= E_{\tau\tau} + \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\Big[\Big(1 + \frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\,\bar{n}_{(c)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\,\frac{T_{\tau\tau}}{2} - \\
&- \Big(1 - \frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\,\bar{n}_{(c)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,T_{\tau r} + \\
&+ \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,((1+n)^2\,\delta_{(a)(b)})\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,\frac{T_{rs}}{2}\Big)\Big], \\
{}^4R_{\tau r} &= E_{\tau r} + \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\Big[\Big(1 - \frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\,\bar{n}_{(c)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\,T_{\tau r} - \\
&+ \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\,\frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\,Q_c\,V_{rc}}{2\,(1+n)^2}\Big(T_{\tau\tau} - \tilde{\phi}^{-2}\,((1+n)^2\,\delta_{(a)(b)} - \\
&- \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)})\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{au}\,V_{vb}\,T_{uv}\Big)\Big], \\
{}^4R_{rs} &= E_{rs} + \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\Big[\frac{\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,\sum_c Q_c^2\,V_{rc}\,V_{sc}}{2\,(1+n)^2}\Big(T_{\tau\tau} - \\
&- 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ua}\,T_{\tau u}\Big) + \Big(\delta_r^u\,\delta_s^v - \frac{\sum_c Q_c^2\,V_{rc}\,V_{sc}}{2\,(1+n)^2}\,((1+n)^2\,\delta_{(a)(b)} - \\
&- \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)})\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ua}\,V_{vb}\Big)\,T_{uv}\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R &= {}^4g^{AB}\,E_{AB} - \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\frac{\epsilon}{(1+n)^2}\Big[T_{\tau\tau} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,T_{\tau r} - \\
&- 9\,\tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,((1+n)^2\,\delta_{(a)(b)} - \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)})\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,T_{rs}\Big]. \qquad (2.18)
\end{aligned}
$$

Einstein's equations are not independent:

i) Four of them are the secondary super-hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints [from Eqs.(2.3) we have $l^A = \frac{1}{1+n}\Big(1; -\bar{n}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big)$]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4G_{ll} &= {}^4G_{AB}\,l^A\,l^B = \frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\,[{}^4G_{\tau\tau} - 2\,{}^4G_{\tau r}\,n^r + {}^4G_{rs}\,n^r\,n^s] \overset{\circ}{=} \\
&\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,T_{ll} = \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,l^A\,l^B\,T_{AB} = \\
&= \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)^2}\Big[T_{\tau\tau} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,T_{\tau r} + \\
&+ \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,T_{rs}\Big], \\
0 &\overset{\circ}{=} {}^4G_{ll} - \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,T_{ll} = \epsilon\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{H} \approx 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4G_{lr} &= {}^4G_{AB}\, l^A\, {}^3h^B_r = {}^4G_{Ar}\, l^A = \frac{\epsilon}{1+n}\,[{}^4G_{\tau r} - {}^4G_{rs}\, n^s] \overset{\circ}{=} \\
&\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\, l^A\, T_{Ar} = \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)}\left[T_{\tau r} - \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\, Q_a^{-1}\, V_{sa}\, T_{rs}\right], \\
0 &\overset{\circ}{=} {}^4G_{lr} - \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\, T_{lr} = -\epsilon\,\frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\sum_c Q_c^2\, V_{rc}\, V_{sc}\,\mathcal{H}^s \approx 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.19}
$$

ii) Four of them are not independent from the others due to the contracted Bianchi identities (Noether identities implying $\Rightarrow\ {}^4\nabla_A\, T^{AB} \overset{\circ}{=} 0$) generated by the time-conservation of the super-hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints

$$
0 \equiv {}^4\nabla_A\, {}^4G^{AB} = \partial_A\, {}^4G^{AB} + {}^4\Gamma^A_{AC}\, {}^4G^{CB} + {}^4\Gamma^B_{AC}\, {}^4G^{AC}. \tag{2.20}
$$

At the Hamiltonian level in the York canonical basis the four contracted Bianchi identities become the Hamilton equations for $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\pi_i^{(\theta)}$, i.e. for the unknowns in the super-Hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints.

As a consequence of Eqs.(2.19), Eqs.(2.20) imply that only two of the six components of ${}^4R_{rs}$ are independent and give rise to genuine second order equations of motion.

III. THE HAMILTONIAN EXPRESSION OF THE 4-RIEMANN TENSOR

In this Section we use the material of the previous Section to find the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Christoffel symbols and of the 4-Rienmann tensor.

A. The 4-Christoffel Symbols

After these preliminaries we can evaluate explicitly the 4-Christoffel symbols by using Eqs.(2.7)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^A_{BC} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g^{AE}\left(\partial_B\,{}^4g_{CE} + \partial_C\,{}^4g_{BE} - \partial_E\,{}^4g_{BC}\right) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g^{\tau A}\left(\partial_B\,{}^4g_{\tau C} + \partial_C\,{}^4g_{\tau B} - \partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{BC}\right) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g^{Au}\left(\partial_B\,{}^4g_{Cu} + \partial_C\,{}^4g_{Bu} - \partial_u\,{}^4g_{BC}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

By using the third of Eqs.(2.15) we get the following expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^{\tau}_{\tau\tau} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[{}^4g^{\tau\tau}\,\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau} + {}^4g^{\tau u}\,(2\,\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{\tau u} - \partial_u\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau})\Big] = \\
&= \frac{1}{1+n}\Big[\partial_\tau n + \bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{e}^{\,r}_{(a)}\partial_r n - \bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}\bar{e}^{\,r}_{(a)}\bar{e}^{\,s}_{(b)}\,K_{rs}\Big] = \\
&= \frac{1}{1+n}\Big\{\partial_\tau n + \sum_{a,r}\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}Q_a^{-1}V_{ra}\bar{n}_{(a)}\partial_r n - \sum_{ab,rs}Q_a^{-1}Q_b^{-1}V_{ra}V_{sb}\bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}\,\times \\
&\quad\times\Big[\frac{4\pi G}{c^3}\sum_c Q_c^2 V_{rc}V_{sc}\Big(\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\sum_{\bar{a}}\gamma_{\bar{a}c}\Pi_{\bar{a}}\Big) + \sum_{c\neq d}\sigma_{(c)(d)}Q_cQ_dV_{rc}V_{sd}\Big]\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^{\tau}_{\tau r} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[{}^4g^{\tau\tau}\,\partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau} + {}^4g^{\tau s}\,(\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{rs} + \partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau s} - \partial_s\,{}^4g_{\tau r})\Big] = \\
&= \frac{1}{1+n}\Big(\partial_r n - K_{rs}\,\bar{e}^{\,s}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(a)}\Big) = \\
&= \frac{1}{1+n}\Big\{\partial_r n + \sum_{a,s}\tilde{\phi}^{1/3}Q_a^{-1}V_{sa}\,\times \\
&\quad\times\Big[\frac{4\pi G}{c^3}\sum_c Q_c^2 V_{rc}V_{sc}\Big(\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\sum_{\bar{a}}\gamma_{\bar{a}c}\Pi_{\bar{a}}\Big) + \sum_{c\neq d}\sigma_{(c)(d)}Q_cQ_dV_{rc}V_{sd}\Big]\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^{\tau}_{rs} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[{}^4g^{\tau\tau}\,(\partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau s} + \partial_s\,{}^4g_{\tau r} - \partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{rs}) + \\
&\quad + {}^4g^{\tau u}\,(\partial_r\,{}^4g_{su} + \partial_s\,{}^4g_{ru} - \partial_u\,{}^4g_{rs})\Big] = \\
&= -\frac{1}{1+n}\,{}^3K_{rs} = \\
&= -\frac{\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}}{1+n}\Big[\frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\sum_a Q_a^2\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\Big(\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\sum_{\bar{a}}\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,\Pi_{\bar{a}}\Big) + \\
&\quad + \sum_{ab}^{a\neq b}\sigma_{(a)(b)}\,Q_a\,Q_b\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^u_{\tau\tau} \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\left[{}^4g^{\tau u}\,\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau} + {}^4g^{uv}\,(2\,\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{\tau v} - \partial_v\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau})\right] = \\
&= \sum_a \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} Q_a^{-1} V_{ua} \Big\{ \bar{n}_{(a)}(1+n)\partial_\tau n - \bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}\partial_\tau \bar{n}_{(b)} + \sum_{bc,rs} \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}\bar{n}_{(c)}}{1+n}\, Q_b^{-1} Q_c^{-1} V_{rb} V_{sc} \times \\
&\quad \times \Big[\frac{4\pi\, G}{c^3} \sum_d Q_d^2\, V_{rd}\, V_{sd} \left(\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \sum_{\bar{a}} \gamma_{\bar{a}a}\, \Pi_{\bar{a}} \right) + \sum_{de}^{d\neq e} \sigma_{(d)(e)}\, Q_d\, Q_e\, V_{rd}\, V_{se} \Big] + \\
&\quad + \sum_{b,r} \Big[(1+n)\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} Q_b^{-1} V_{rb} \Big(\delta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2} \Big) \partial_r n \\
&\qquad - \frac{\bar{n}_{(b)}}{2} \Big(Q_a^{-1} V_{ra} \partial_r \bar{n}_{(b)} + Q_a^{-1} V_{rb} \partial_r \bar{n}_{(a)} \Big) \Big] + \\
&\quad + \sum_{b,s} \bar{n}_{(a)}\bar{n}_{(b)} \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} Q_b^{-1} V_{sb} \Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi} + Q_a^{-1}\partial_s Q_a \Big) - \\
&\quad - \sum_{b,s} \bar{n}_{(b)}^2 \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} Q_a^{-1} V_{sa} \Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi} + Q_b^{-1}\partial_s Q_b \Big) + \\
&\quad + \sum_{bc,rs} \bar{n}_{(b)}\bar{n}_{(c)} \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} Q_a^{-1} Q_b^{-1} Q_c V_{ra} V_{sb} \Big(\partial_s V_{rc} - \partial_r V_{sc} \Big) \Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^u_{\tau r} \;&=\; \frac{1}{2}\left[{}^4g^{\tau u}\,\partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau\tau} + {}^4g^{uv}\,(\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{rv} + \partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau v} - \partial_v\,{}^4g_{\tau r})\right] = \\
&= \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3} \sum_a Q_a^{-1}\, V_{ua} \Big[- \bar{n}_{(a)}\, \frac{\partial_r\, n}{1+n} - \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\,(1+n) \sum_b (\delta_{(a)(b)} - \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2}) \times \\
&\quad \Big(\sum_{c\neq b} Q_c\, V_{rc}\, \sigma_{(c)(b)} + \frac{4\pi\, G}{c^3}\, Q_b\, V_{rb}\, (\pi_{\tilde{\phi}} - 2\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1} \sum_{\bar{a}} \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\, \Pi_{\bar{a}}) \Big) - \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{bv} \bar{n}_{(b)} \Big(Q_a^{-1}\, Q_b\, V_{va}\, (\partial_r\, V_{vb} - \partial_v\, V_{rb}) - Q_b^{-1}\, Q_a\, V_{vb}\, (\partial_r\, V_{va} - \partial_v\, V_{ra}) \Big) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{bcvs} \bar{n}_{(b)}\, Q_a^{-1}\, Q_b^{-1}\, Q_c^2\, V_{rc}\, V_{va}\, V_{sb}\, (\partial_v\, V_{sc} - \partial_s\, V_{vc}) + \sum_{b,s} V_{sa} V_{ra} Q_a^{-1} Q_b \partial_s \bar{n}_{(b)} \Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4\Gamma^u_{rs} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[{}^4g^{\tau u}\,(\partial_r\,{}^4g_{\tau s}+\partial_s\,{}^4g_{\tau r}-\partial_\tau\,{}^4g_{rs})+{}^4g^{uv}\,(\partial_r\,{}^4g_{sv}+\partial_s\,{}^4g_{rv}-\partial_v\,{}^4g_{rs})\Big]= \\
&= {}^3\Gamma^u_{rs}+\frac{\bar n_{(a)}}{1+n}\,{}^3\bar e^u_{(a)}\,{}^3K_{rs}= \\
&= {}^3\Gamma^u_{rs}+\tilde\phi^{1/3}\sum_c Q_c^{-1}\,V_{uc}\,\frac{\bar n_{(c)}}{1+n}\Big[\frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\sum_a Q_a^2\,V_{ra}\,V_{sa}\Big(\pi_{\tilde\phi}\,-2\,\tilde\phi^{-1}\sum_{\bar a}\gamma_{\bar a a}\,\Pi_{\bar a}\Big)\,+ \\
&+\sum_{ab}^{a\neq b}\sigma_{(a)(b)}\,Q_a\,Q_b\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\Big]. \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}
$$

${}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau\tau}$ and ${}^4\Gamma^u_{\tau\tau}$ depend on the arbitrary velocities $\partial_\tau\,n$ and $\partial_\tau\,\bar n_{(a)}$ of Eqs.(2.14), which are gauge-dependent quantities determined only after a gauge fixing.

B. The 4-Riemann and 4-Ricci Tensors

See Refs. [19, 20] for the symmetries and the Bianchi identities satisfied by the 4-Riemann tensor and for the derivation of the Gauss, Codazzi-Mainardi and Ricci equations. We only rewrite them in our 3+1 splitting of the space-time in radar 4-coordinates.

The radar 4-Riemann tensor with 4-scalar components, i.e. the 4-Riemann tensor in adapted radar coordinates is (to simplify some formulas we use the notation n_r for $n_r = \bar n_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar e_{(a)r} = \tilde\phi^{1/3}\,\bar n_{(a)}\,Q_a\,V_{ra}$)

$$
{}^4R^A{}_{BCD} = \partial_C\,{}^4\Gamma^A_{BD}-\partial_D\,{}^4\Gamma^A_{BC}+{}^4\Gamma^E_{BD}\,{}^4\Gamma^A_{CE}-{}^4\Gamma^E_{BC}\,{}^4\Gamma^A_{DE},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{ABCD} &= {}^4g_{AE}\,{}^4R^E{}_{BCD}={}^4g_{AE}\Big(\partial_C\,{}^4\Gamma^E_{BD}-\partial_D\,{}^4\Gamma^E_{BC}+{}^4\Gamma^F_{BD}\,{}^4\Gamma^E_{CF}-{}^4\Gamma^F_{BC}\,{}^4\Gamma^E_{DF}\Big)= \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\partial_A\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{BD}+\partial_B\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{AC}-\partial_A\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{BC}-\partial_B\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{AD}\Big)+ \\
&+ {}^4g_{EF}\Big({}^4\Gamma^E_{AD}\,{}^4\Gamma^F_{BC}-{}^4\Gamma^E_{AC}\,{}^4\Gamma^F_{BD}\Big)= \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\partial_A\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{BD}+\partial_B\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{AC}-\partial_A\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{BC}-\partial_B\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{AD}\Big)+ \\
&+ \epsilon\Big([(1+n)^2-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)}]\Big[{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AD}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BC}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AC}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BD}\Big]-{}^3g_{uv}\Big[{}^4\Gamma^u_{AD}\,{}^4\Gamma^v_{BC}-{}^4\Gamma^u_{AC}\,{}^4\Gamma^v_{BD}\Big]- \\
&- n_u\Big[{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AD}\,{}^4\Gamma^u_{BC}+{}^4\Gamma^u_{AD}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BC}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AC}\,{}^4\Gamma^u_{BD}-{}^4\Gamma^u_{AC}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BD}\Big]\Big)=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{2}\Big(\partial_A\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{BD} + \partial_B\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{AC} - \partial_A\,\partial_D\,{}^4g_{BC} - \partial_B\,\partial_C\,{}^4g_{AD}\Big) + \\
&+ \epsilon\Big({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AD}\Big[((1+n)^2 - \bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)})\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BC} - n_u\,{}^4\Gamma^u_{BC}\Big] - \\
&- {}^4\Gamma^\tau_{AC}\Big[((1+n)^2 - \bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)})\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BD} - n_u\,{}^4\Gamma^u_{BD}\Big] - \\
&- {}^4\Gamma^u_{AD}\,({}^3g_{uv}\,{}^4\Gamma^v_{BC} + n_u\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BC}) + {}^4\Gamma^u_{AC}\,({}^3g_{uv}\,{}^4\Gamma^v_{BD} + n_u\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{BD})\Big),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&l^A\,{}^4R_{ABCD} = \frac{1}{1+n}\Big({}^4R_{\tau BCD} - n^s\,{}^4R_{sBCD}\Big), \\
&l^A\,{}^4R_{Aruv} = \epsilon\Big({}^3K_{ru|v} - {}^3K_{rv|u}\Big), \qquad (CODAZZI - MAINARDIEQUATION),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

The radar 4-Ricci tensor (S_{AB} is the trace-free Ricci tensor) and the 4-curvature scalar ($\Lambda = {}^4R/24$ is the Newman-Penrose notation [17]) are

$$
{}^4R_{AB} \;=\; {}^4R_{BA} = {}^4g^{EF}\,{}^4R_{EAFB} = \partial_C\,{}^4\Gamma^C_{AB} - \partial_B\,{}^4\Gamma^C_{AC} + {}^4\Gamma^C_{CD}\,{}^4\Gamma^D_{AB} - {}^4\Gamma^C_{BD}\,{}^4\Gamma^D_{CA},
$$

$$
{}^4R \;=\; {}^4g^{AB}\,{}^4R_{AB} = 24\,\Lambda, \qquad {}^4S_{AB} = {}^4R_{AB} - \frac{1}{4}\,{}^4g_{AB}\,{}^4R,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau\tau} &= {}^4g^{EF}\,{}^4R_{E\tau F\tau} = {}^4g^{rs}\,{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} = \\
&= -\epsilon\,\tilde\phi^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,(\delta_{ab} - \frac{\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2})\,{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} = E_{\tau\tau} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat T_{\tau\tau}, \\
{}^4R_{\tau r} &= {}^4g^{EF}\,{}^4R_{E\tau Fr} = -{}^4g^{\tau s}\,{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} - {}^4g^{uv}\,{}^4R_{\tau urv} = \\
&= \epsilon\,\tilde\phi^{-1/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ua}\Big[\frac{\bar n_{(a)}}{(1+n)^2}\,{}^4R_{\tau r\tau u} + \tilde\phi^{-1/3}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{vb}\,(\delta_{ab} - \frac{\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2})\,{}^4R_{\tau urv}\Big] = \\
&= E_{\tau r} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat T_{\tau r}, \\
{}^4R_{rs} &= {}^4g^{EF}\,{}^4R_{ErFs} = \\
&= \epsilon\Big[\frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\,{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} - \tilde\phi^{-1/3}\,\frac{Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ua}\,\bar n_{(a)}}{(1+n)^2}\,({}^4R_{\tau rus} + {}^4R_{\tau sur}) - \\
&- \tilde\phi^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ua}\,V_{vb}\,(\delta_{ab} - \frac{\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2})\,{}^4R_{rusv}\Big] = E_{rs} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat T_{rs},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R &= {}^4g^{\tau\tau}\,{}^4R_{\tau\tau} + 2\,{}^4g^{\tau r}\,{}^4R_{\tau r} + {}^4g^{rs}\,{}^4R_{rs} = \\
&= \epsilon\Big[\frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\,{}^4R_{\tau\tau} - 2\,\tilde\phi^{-1/3}\,\frac{Q_a^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,\bar n_{(a)}}{(1+n)^2}\,{}^4R_{\tau r} - \\
&- \tilde\phi^{-2/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,Q_b^{-1}\,V_{ra}\,V_{sb}\,(\delta_{ab} - \frac{\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(b)}}{(1+n)^2})\,{}^4R_{rs}\Big] = {}^4g^{AB}\,E_{AB} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,T.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

The components of the radar 4-Riemann tensor are

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{rsuv} &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(\partial_r\,\partial_v\,{}^3g_{su}+\partial_s\,\partial_u\,{}^3g_{rv}-\partial_r\,\partial_u\,{}^3g_{sv}-\partial_s\,\partial_v\,{}^3g_{ru}\right)- \\
&- \epsilon\left[((1+n)^2-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)})\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{ru}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{sv}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rv}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{su}\right)+{}^3g_{hk}\left({}^4\Gamma^h_{rv}\,{}^4\Gamma^k_{su}-{}^4\Gamma^h_{ru}\,{}^4\Gamma^k_{sv}\right)+\right. \\
&+ \left. n_h\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rv}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{su}+{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{su}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{rv}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{ru}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{sv}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{sv}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{ru}\right)\right]= \\
&= -\epsilon\left({}^3R_{rsuv}+{}^3K_{rv}\,{}^3K_{su}-{}^3K_{ru}\,{}^3K_{sv}\right)= \qquad (GAUSS\ EQUATION) \\
&\stackrel{def}{=} \bar W_{rsuv}=-\bar W_{sruv}=-\bar W_{rsvu}=\bar W_{uvrs},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau ruv} &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(\partial_r\,\partial_u\,n_v+\partial_v\,\partial_\tau\,{}^3g_{ru}-\partial_r\,\partial_v\,n_u-\partial_u\,\partial_\tau\,{}^3g_{rv}\right)- \\
&- \epsilon\left[((1+n)^2-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)})\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau u}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rv}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau v}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{ru}\right)+{}^3g_{hk}\left({}^4\Gamma^k_{ru}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau v}-{}^4\Gamma^k_{rv}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau u}\right)+\right. \\
&+ \left. n_h\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{ru}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau v}+{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau v}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{ru}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rv}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau u}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau u}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{rv}\right)\right]= \\
&= (1+n)\,l^A\,{}^4R_{Aruv}+n^s\,{}^4R_{sruv}= \\
&= \epsilon\left[(1+n)\left({}^3K_{ru|v}-{}^3K_{rv|u}\right)+\tilde\phi^{-1/3}\,Q_a^{-1}\,V_{sa}\,\bar n_{(a)}\left({}^3R_{rsuv}+{}^3K_{rv}\,{}^3K_{su}-{}^3K_{ru}\,{}^3K_{sv}\right)\right]= \\
&\stackrel{def}{=} \bar W_{\tau ruv}=-\bar W_{\tau rvu},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} &= -\frac{\epsilon}{2}\left(\partial_r\,\partial_s\left[(1+n)^2-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)}\right]-\partial^2_\tau\,{}^3g_{rs}+\partial_r\,\partial_\tau\,n_s+\partial_s\,\partial_\tau\,n_r\right)- \\
&- \epsilon\left[((1+n)^2-\bar n_{(a)}\,\bar n_{(a)})\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau\tau}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rs}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau r}\,{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau s}\right)+{}^3g_{hk}\left({}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau r}\,{}^4\Gamma^k_{\tau s}-{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau\tau}\,{}^4\Gamma^k_{rs}\right)+\right. \\
&+ \left. n_h\left({}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau r}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau s}+{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau s}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau r}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau\tau}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{rs}-{}^4\Gamma^\tau_{rs}\,{}^4\Gamma^h_{\tau\tau}\right)\right]. \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

To get the Hamiltonian expression of ${}^4R_{rsuv}$ and of ${}^4R_{\tau ruv}$ we must use Eq.(2.11) for ${}^3K_{rs}$, Eqs. (A2) for ${}^3R_{rsuv}$, Eq.(2.12) for ${}^3\Gamma^u_{rs}$ and Eq.(2.13) for ${}^3K_{rs|u}=\partial_u\,{}^3K_{rs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{ru}\,{}^3K_{vs}-{}^3\Gamma^v_{su}\,{}^3K_{rv}$. These quantities do not depend on the first two arbitrary velocities of Eq.(2.15). The first of Eqs.(3.5) is the Gauss equation, while the second one is a combination of the Codazzi-Mainardi equation with the Gauss one. As a consequence $\bar W_{rsuv}$ and $\bar W_{\tau ruv}$ are well defined Hamiltonian functions.

The third equation is the analogue of the Ricci equation in radar 4-coordinates: it cannot be expressed in terms of the canonical variables without using the equations of motion (the dynamical second half of Hamilton equations) because it contains $\partial^2_\tau\ {}^3g_{rs}$ besides the τ-derivatives of the lapse and shift functions (both explicitly in the first line and implicitly inside the 4-Christoffel symbols ${}^4\Gamma^\tau_{\tau\tau}$ and ${}^4\Gamma^u_{\tau\tau}$ given in Eqs.(3.2)) given in the first two of Eqs.(2.15).

However Eq.(3.4) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} &= \left({}^4R_{\tau rus} + {}^4R_{\tau sur}\right) n^u + {}^4R_{rusv}\left((1+n)^2\ {}^3g^{uv} - n^u\, n^v\right) + \epsilon\,(1+n)^2\ {}^4R_{rs} = \\
&= \epsilon\left[\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,(1+n)\left(2\ {}^3K_{rs|u} - {}^3K_{ur|s} - {}^3K_{us|r}\right) Q_a^{-1}\, V_{ua}\,\bar{n}_{(a)} + \right. \\
&+ (1+n)^2\left({}^3R_{rs} + \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\, Q_a^{-2}\, V_{ua}\, V_{va}\, {}^3K_{ru}\ {}^3K_{vs} - {}^3K\ {}^3K_{rs}\right) + \\
&+ \left.\tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\left({}^3R_{ruvs} + {}^3K_{rs}\ {}^3K_{uv} - {}^3K_{ru}\ {}^3K_{sv}\right) Q_a^{-1}\, Q_b^{-1}\, V_{ua}\, V_{vb}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\right] + \epsilon\,(1+n)^2\ {}^4R_{rs} = \\
&\stackrel{def}{=} \bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} + \epsilon\,(1+n)^2\ {}^4R_{rs} = \\
&= \bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} + \epsilon\,(1+n)^2\left[E_{rs} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat{T}_{rs}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Downarrow
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} &= (\bar{W}_{\tau rus} + \bar{W}_{\tau sur})\, n^u + \bar{W}_{rusv}\,((1+n)^2\ {}^3g^{uv} - n^u\, n^v) = \\
&= \epsilon\left[\tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,(1+n)\, Q_a^{-1}\, V_{ua}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\left(2\,{}^3K_{rs|u} - {}^3K_{ur|s} - {}^3K_{us|r}\right) + \right. \\
&+ (1+n)^2\left({}^3R_{rs} + \tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\, Q_a^{-2}\, V_{ua}\, V_{va}\, {}^3K_{rv}\, {}^3K_{su} - {}^3K\, {}^3K_{rs}\right) + \\
&+ \left.\tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\, Q_a^{-1}\, Q_b^{-1}\, V_{ua}\, V_{vb}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\left({}^3R_{ruvs} + {}^3K_{rs}\, {}^3K_{uv} - {}^3K_{ru}\, {}^3K_{sv}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau\tau} &= -\epsilon\left({}^3g^{rs} - \frac{n^r\, n^s}{(1+n)^2}\right)\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} - \left((1+n)^2\ {}^3g^{rs} - n^r\, n^s\right){}^4R_{rs} = \\
&= E_{\tau\tau} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat{T}_{\tau\tau}, \\
{}^4R_{\tau r} &= \epsilon\left[\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s}\,\frac{n^s}{(1+n)^2} + \left({}^3g^{uv} - \frac{n^u\, n^v}{(1+n)^2}\right)\bar{W}_{\tau urv}\right] + {}^4R_{rs}\, n^s = \\
&= E_{\tau r} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat{T}_{\tau r}, \\
{}^4R &= -\frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\left[\left({}^3g^{uv} + \frac{n^u\, n^v}{(1+n)^2}\right)\bar{W}_{\tau u\tau v} + 2n^r\left({}^3g^{uv} - \frac{n^u\, n^v}{(1+n)^2}\right)\bar{W}_{\tau urv}\right] - 2\epsilon\,{}^3g^{rs}\,{}^4R_{rs} = \\
&= {}^4g^{AB}\, E_{AB} + \frac{4\pi G}{c^3}\, T.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

As a consequence the 4-scalar radar quantities $\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} \stackrel{def}{=} {}^4R_{\tau r\tau s} - \epsilon\,(1+n)^2\,{}^4R_{rs}$, $\bar{W}_{\tau ruv} \stackrel{def}{=} {}^4R_{\tau ruv}$ and $\bar{W}_{rsuv} \stackrel{def}{=} {}^4R_{rsuv}$ are expressible in terms of the canonical variables.

While Eqs.(3.5) imply that $\bar{W}_{\tau ruv}$ and $\bar{W}_{rsuv}$ have the same symmetries of ${}^4R_{\tau ruv}$ and ${}^4R_{rsuv}$, respectively, $\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s}$ has not the symmetries of ${}^4R_{\tau r\tau s}$ except $\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} = \bar{W}_{\tau s\tau r}$.

Therefore we can introduce a Hamiltonian radar tensor $\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{ABCD}$

$$\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{ABCD} \;=\; {}^4R_{ABCD} - \delta^\tau_A\,\delta^r_B\,\delta^\tau_C\,\delta^s_D\,\epsilon\,(1+n)^2\,E_{rs} \overset{\circ}{=} {}^4R_{ABCD},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{rsuv} = \bar{W}_{rsuv}, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{W}}_{\tau ruv} = \bar{W}_{\tau ruv}, \\ &\bar{\mathcal{W}}_{\tau r\tau s} = \bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} + \epsilon\,\frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,(1+n)^2\,\hat{T}_{rs}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

which becomes the radar 4-Riemann tensor "on-shell", namely by using Einstein's equations.

In conclusion the 20 independent components of the radar 4-Riemann tensor ${}^4R_{ABCD}$ are expressible in terms of the canonical quantities $\bar{W}_{ABCD}$ and of ${}^4R_{rs}$, which has the following expression due to Eq.(2.18)

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4R_{rs} \;&=\; E_{rs} + \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\hat{T}_{rs} = E_{rs} + \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\Big[T_{rs} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\,\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,\sum_c\,Q_c^2\,V_{rc}\,V_{sc}\,T\Big] \overset{\circ}{=} \\ &\overset{\circ}{=} \frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\Big[T_{rs} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\,\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,\sum_c\,Q_c^2\,V_{rc}\,V_{sc}\,T\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Einstein's equations (2.19) imply:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\,({}^3g^{rs} + \frac{n^r\,n^s}{(1+n)^2})\,\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s} + n^r\,{}^3g^{uv}\,\bar{W}_{\tau urv} \approx \\ &\qquad \approx -\epsilon\,\frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\Big[T_{\tau\tau} - 2\,n^r\,T_{\tau r} + n^r\,n^s\,T_{rs}\Big], \\ &\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s}\,\frac{n^s}{(1+n)^2} + ({}^3g^{uv} - \frac{n^u\,n^v}{(1+n)^2})\,\bar{W}_{\tau urv} \approx \\ &\qquad \approx \epsilon\,\frac{8\,\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)}\,\Big[T_{\tau r} - n^s\,T_{rs}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

As a consequence 4 of the 6 components of $\bar{W}_{\tau r\tau s}$ are weakly determined from the other $\bar{W}$'s and from the matter energy-momentum tensor.

IV. NULL TETRADS AND THE 4-RICCI SCALARS OF THE NEWMAN-PENROSE APPROACH

In this Section we introduce a set of Hamiltonian null tetrads suggested by the framework of ADM tetrad gravity. They are the natural tools to get a Hamiltonian formulation of the Newman-Penrose formalism [17]. Here we give the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Ricci scalars as sums of terms in the Hamiltonian energy-momentum tensor of matter plus terms vanishing with Einstein's equations.

A. Null Tetrads.

Given the 3+1 splitting of the space-time and the reference adapted tetrads (2.4), we see that the canonical formalism automatically identifies the following unit time-like vector l^A and unit space-like vector $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^A$

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^A &= {}^4\bar{\overset{\circ}{E}}{}^A_{(o)} = \frac{1}{1+n}\Big(1;\ -\bar{n}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big), \qquad {}^4g_{AB}\,l^A\,l^B = \epsilon,\\
\bar{\mathcal{N}}^A &= \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,{}^4\bar{\overset{\circ}{E}}{}^A_{(a)} = \Big(0;\ \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big), \qquad {}^4g_{AB}\,\bar{\mathcal{N}}^A\,\bar{\mathcal{N}}^B = -\epsilon,\\
&\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)} = \frac{\bar{n}_{(a)}}{\sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}}, \qquad \sum_a \hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(a)} = 1,\\
l_A &= \epsilon\,{}^4\bar{\overset{\circ}{E}}{}^{(o)}_A = \epsilon\,(1+n)\Big(1;\ 0\Big),\\
\bar{\mathcal{N}}_A &= -\epsilon\Big(\sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}};\ \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

Given the shift unit 3-vector $\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}$ in the Euclidean 3-space with flat indices (a), we can define the following basis of three unit vectors (R is an arbitrary rotation matrix identifying the "3" axis)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{(a)(b)} &= \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,\hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)} + \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(b)} + \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(b)},\\
&\sum_a \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}^2_{(1)(a)} = \sum_a \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}^2_{(2)(a)} = 1, \qquad \sum_{(a)} \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1,2)(a)} = 0, \qquad \sum_a \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(2)(a)} = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)} &= (\ R\ \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{pmatrix}\ )_{(a)},\\
\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)} &= (\ R\ \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{pmatrix}\ )_{(a)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(3)}}}\begin{pmatrix}\hat{\bar{n}}_{(1)}\,\hat{\bar{n}}_{(3)}\\ \hat{\bar{n}}_{(2)}\,\hat{\bar{n}}_{(3)}\\ -[1-\hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(3)}]\end{pmatrix} =\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\bar{n}^2_{(1)}+\bar{n}^2_{(2)})\ \sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}}\begin{pmatrix}\bar{n}_{(1)}\,\bar{n}_{(3)}\\ \bar{n}_{(2)}\,\bar{n}_{(3)}\\ -\bar{n}^2_{(1)}-\bar{n}^2_{(2)}\end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(2)(a)} \;=\; (\; R \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \;)_{(a)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(3)}}} \begin{pmatrix} -\hat{\bar{n}}_{(2)} \\ \hat{\bar{n}}_{(1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{n}^2_{(1)}+\bar{n}^2_{(2)}}} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{n}_{(2)} \\ \bar{n}_{(1)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.2)

and then we can replace $\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)}$ and $\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(2)(a)}$ with a circular basis

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(\pm)(a)} \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(1)(a)} \pm i\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(2)(a)}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\,\sqrt{1-\hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(3)}}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\bar{n}}_{(1)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(3)} \mp i\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(2)} \\ \hat{\bar{n}}_{(2)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(3)} \pm i\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(1)} \\ -[1-\hat{\bar{n}}^2_{(3)}] \end{pmatrix}, \\
&\sum_a \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(\pm)(a)} = 0, \qquad \sum_a \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(\pm)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(\pm)(a)} = 0, \qquad \sum_a \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)} = 1, \\
\delta_{(a)(b)} \;&=\; \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)} + \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)} + \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)}.
\end{aligned}$$
(4.3)

Therefore, in each point of every instantaneous 3-space Σ_τ there is a spatial direction identified by the shift functions $\bar{n}_{(a)}$, i.e. by the gauge variables describing gravitomagnetism [10].

As a consequence, in the canonical formalism we can identify the following null tetrads $({}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)} = \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\, Q^{-1}_a\, V_{ra})$

$$\begin{aligned}
L^A \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[l^A - \bar{\mathcal{N}}^A\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\frac{1}{1+n};\;\; -(1+\frac{\sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}}{1+n})\; \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big), \\
K^A \;&=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[l^A + \bar{\mathcal{N}}^A\right] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big(\frac{1}{1+n};\;\; (1-\frac{\sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}}{1+n})\; \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big), \\
&K^\tau = L^\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

[10] If there exist gauges (in particular coordinate systems) in which the vector field $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,\partial_A = (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)})(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\,\partial_r$ is surface-forming (i.e. it has zero vorticity), then in these gauges each Σ_τ would be foliated with 2-surfaces having $\bar{\mathcal{N}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ as unit 3-normal and the 3+1 splitting would become a (2+1) + 1 = 2 + 2 splitting [25, 26]. Since the surface-forming condition is $d[\bar{\mathcal{N}}_A(\tau,\vec{\sigma})\, d\sigma^A] = 0$ (this 1-form must be closed), we have that these gauges exist if there are solutions to the equations $\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r} = \partial_r f$ and $\sqrt{\sum_a \bar{n}^2_{(a)}} = \partial_\tau f$, whose integrability conditions are $\partial_\tau\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}) = \partial_r \sqrt{\sum_a \bar{n}^2_{(a)}}$. If these gauges exist, then the two space-like directions orthogonal to $\bar{\mathcal{N}}(\tau,\vec{\sigma})$ (tangent to the 2-surfaces) must be connected with the two physical degrees of freedom of the gravitational field in the sense of Ref. [27]. This topic is now under investigation [28].

$$M^A \;=\; \mathcal{E}^A_{(+)} = \Big(0;\;\; \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big) = \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(a)},$$

$$M^{*A} \;=\; \mathcal{E}^A_{(-)} = \Big(0;\;\; \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\Big) = \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, {}^4\overset{\circ}{\bar{E}}{}^A_{(a)},$$

$$\epsilon\, {}^4g^{AB} \;=\; L^A\, K^B + L^B\, K^A - (M^A\, M^{*B} + M^B\, M^{*A}),$$

$$0 \;=\; {}^4g^{AB}\, L_A\, L_B = {}^4g^{AB}\, K_A\, K_B = {}^4g^{AB}\, L_A\, M_B = {}^4g^{AB}\, K_A\, M_B = {}^4g^{AB}\, M_A\, M_B,$$

$${}^4g^{AB}\, L_A\, K_B = \epsilon, \qquad {}^4g^{AB}\, M_A\, M^*_B = -\epsilon, \tag{4.4}$$

whose covariant form is $({}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)} = \tilde{\phi}^{1/3}\, Q_a\, V_{ra})$

$$L_A \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(l_A - \bar{\mathcal{N}}_A\Big) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(1 + n + \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}};\;\; \hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\Big),$$

$$K_A \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(l_A + \bar{\mathcal{N}}_A\Big) = \frac{\epsilon}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(1 + n - \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}};\;\; -\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\Big),$$

$$M_A \;=\; -\epsilon\Big(0;\;\; \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\Big),$$

$$M^*_A \;=\; -\epsilon\Big(0;\;\; \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, {}^3\bar{e}_{(a)r}\Big). \tag{4.5}$$

This is a canonical realization of a set of Newman-Penrose null tetrads [17].

Since Eqs.(2.5) and (4.3) imply

$$\begin{aligned} {}^4E^A_{(\alpha)} \;=\;& \Big[L^{(o)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(e)})\Big]\, l^A + \Big[\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, R^T_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, L^{(b)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(e)})\Big]\, \bar{\mathcal{N}} + \\ +\;& \Big[\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, R^T_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, L^{(b)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(e)})\Big]\, M^A + \Big[\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, R^T_{(a)(b)}(\alpha_{(e)})\, L^{(b)}{}_{(\alpha)}(\varphi_{(e)})\Big]\, M^{*A}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

we see that any other set of null tetrads (built from arbitrary tetrads ${}^4E^A_{(\alpha)}$) is connected with the null basis (4.4) by Lorentz transformations in the tangent planes with gauge parameters $\alpha_{(a)}$ and $\varphi_{(a)}$. The Newman-Penrose Lorentz gauge freedom of the boost, spin and two null rotations [17] may be re-expressed in terms of these parameters.

B. The Ricci Scalars and Einstein's Equations

With the null tetrads (4.5) we can replace the energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}_{AB} = T_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g_{AB}\,T$ of Eq.(2.16) with the following energy-momentum scalars

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,K^A\,K^B = \frac{1}{2}\,T_{AB}\,K^A\,K^B = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\Big(T_{(o)(o)} + 2\,T_{(o)(a)}\,\hat{n}_{(a)} + T_{(a)(b)}\,\hat{n}_{(a)}\,\hat{n}_{(b)}\Big), \\
\mathcal{T}_{01} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,K^A\,M^B = \frac{1}{2}\,T_{AB}\,K^A\,M^B = \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(+)(a)}\,\Big(T_{(o)(a)} + T_{(a)(b)}\,\hat{n}_{(b)}\Big), \\
\mathcal{T}_{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,M^A\,M^B = \frac{1}{2}\,T_{AB}\,M^A\,M^B = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(+)(a)}\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(-)(b)}\,T_{(a)(b)}, \\
\mathcal{T}_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,(K^A\,L^B + M^a\,M^{*\,B}) = \frac{1}{4}\,T_{AB}\,(K^A\,L^B + M^A\,M^{*\,B}) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\Big(T_{(o)(o)} + (2\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(+)(a)}\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(-)(b)} - \hat{n}_{(a)}\,\hat{n}_{(b)})\,T_{(a)(b)}\Big), \\
\mathcal{T}_{12} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,L^A\,M^B = \frac{1}{2}\,T_{AB}\,L^A\,M^B = \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,\hat{\epsilon}_{(+)(a)}\,\Big(T_{(o)(a)} - T_{(a)(b)}\,\hat{n}_{(b)}\Big), \\
\mathcal{T}_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\hat{T}_{AB}\,L^A\,L^B = \frac{1}{2}\,T_{AB}\,L^A\,L^B = \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\,\Big(T_{(o)(o)} - 2\,T_{(o)(a)}\,\hat{n}_{(a)} + T_{(a)(b)}\,\hat{n}_{(a)}\,\hat{n}_{(b)}\Big), \\
\mathcal{T} &= \frac{\epsilon}{4}\,{}^4g^{AB}\,\hat{T}_{AB} = -\frac{\epsilon}{4}\,T,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{AB} &= 2\,\Big[\mathcal{T}_{oo}\,L_A\,L_B + \mathcal{T}_{22}\,K_A\,K_B + 2\,\mathcal{T}_{11}\,(L_A\,K_B + L_B\,K_A) - \\
&- \mathcal{T}_{o1}\,(L_A\,M^*_B + L_B\,M^*_A) - \mathcal{T}^*_{o1}\,(L_A\,M_B + L_B\,M_A) - \\
&- \mathcal{T}_{12}\,(K_A\,M^*_B + K_B\,M^*_A) - \mathcal{T}^*_{12}\,(K_A\,M_B + K_B\,M_A) + \\
&+ \mathcal{T}_{o2}\,M^*_A\,M^*_B + \mathcal{T}^*_{o2}\,M_A\,M_B\Big] + (\mathcal{T}_{11} + \mathcal{T})\,(M_A\,M^*_B + M_B\,M^*_A), \\
\hat{T}_{AB} &= T_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4g_{AB}\,T = T_{AB} + 2\,[L_A\,K_B + L_B\,K_A - (M_A\,M^*_B + M^*_A\,M_B)]\,\mathcal{T}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The null tetrads (4.5) allow us to get the following expressions for the 9 Ricci scalars (Φ_{01}, Φ_{02} and Φ_{12} are complex numbers) and the curvature scalar (${}^4R = 24\,\Lambda$) replacing the 10 components of the 4-Ricci tensor in the Newman-Penrose formalism [17]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,K^A\,K^B \;= \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{00} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}_{00} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{oo},\\
\Phi_{01} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,K^A\,M^B \;= \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{01} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}_{01} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{01},\\
\Phi_{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,M^A\,M^B \;= \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{02} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}_{02} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{02},\\
\Phi_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,(K^A\,L^B + M^A\,M^{*B}) \;= \frac{2\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{11} + \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{E}_{11} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{2\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{11},\\
\Phi_{12} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,L^A\,M^B \;= \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{12} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}_{12} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{12},\\
\Phi_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,L^A\,L^B \;= \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{22} + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{E}_{22} \overset{\circ}{=} \frac{4\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{22},\\
6\,\epsilon\,\Lambda &= \frac{\epsilon}{4}\,{}^4R = \frac{1}{2}\,{}^4R_{AB}\,(K^A\,L^B - M^A\,M^{*B}) \;= -\epsilon\left(\frac{2\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T} + \frac{1}{4}\,\mathcal{E}\right) \overset{\circ}{=} \;-\epsilon\,\frac{2\pi\,G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T},
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.8}
$$

In Eqs.(4.8) we introduced the following notation for Einstein's equations (2.16)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\,E_{AB}\,K^A\,K^B = \Phi_{00} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{00} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E}_{01} &= \frac{1}{2}\,E_{AB}\,K^A\,M^B = \Phi_{01} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{01} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E}_{02} &= \frac{1}{2}\,E_{AB}\,M^A\,M^B = \Phi_{02} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{02} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E}_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}\,E_{AB}\,(K^A\,L^B + M^A\,M^{*\,B}) = \Phi_{11} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{11} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E}_{12} &= \frac{1}{2}\,E_{AB}\,L^A\,M^B = \Phi_{12} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{12} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E}_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}\,E_{AB}\,L^A\,L^B = \Phi_{22} - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T}_{22} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,\\
\mathcal{E} &= \frac{\epsilon}{4}\,{}^4g^{AB}\,E_{AB} = 6\,\epsilon\,\Lambda - \frac{8\pi G}{c^3}\,\mathcal{T} \overset{\circ}{=} 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{AB} \;=\; & 2\,\Big[\mathcal{E}_{oo}\,L_A\,L_B + \mathcal{E}_{22}\,K_A\,K_B + 2\,\mathcal{E}_{11}\,(L_A\,K_B + L_B\,K_A) -\\
& -\; \mathcal{E}_{o1}\,(L_A\,M^*_B + L_B\,M^*_A) - \mathcal{E}^*_{o1}\,(L_A\,M_B + L_B\,M_A) -\\
& -\; \mathcal{E}_{12}\,(K_A\,M^*_B + K_B\,M^*_A) - \mathcal{E}^*_{12}\,(K_A\,M_B + K_B\,M_A) +\\
& +\; \mathcal{E}_{o2}\,M^*_A\,M^*_B + \mathcal{E}^*_{o2}\,M_A\,M_B\Big] + (\mathcal{E}_{11} + \mathcal{E})\,(M_A\,M^*_B + M_B\,M^*_A).
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

The combinations (2.19) of Einstein equations corresponding to the Hamiltonian super-Hamiltonian and super-momentum constraints now can be written in the following form $({}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)} = \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,Q^{-1}_a\,V_{ra})$

$$\Phi_{00} + \Phi_{22} + 2\,\Phi_{11} - 6\,\epsilon\,\Lambda \approx$$

$$\approx \frac{8\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)^2}\,\Big[T_{\tau\tau} - 2\,T_{\tau r}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)} + T_{rs}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\Big],$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{00} - \Phi_{22} &\approx \epsilon\,\frac{8\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)}\,\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\Big[T_{\tau r} - T_{rs}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\Big], \\
\Phi_{01} - \Phi_{12} &\approx \epsilon\,\frac{4\sqrt{2}\,\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\Big[T_{\tau r} - T_{rs}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\Big], \\
\Phi^*_{01} - \Phi^*_{12} &\approx \epsilon\,\frac{4\sqrt{2}\,\pi\,G}{c^3\,(1+n)}\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\,{}^3\bar{e}^r_{(a)}\,\Big[T_{\tau r} - T_{rs}\,{}^3\bar{e}^s_{(b)}\,\bar{n}_{(b)}\Big]. \qquad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following inversion formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{AB} \;=\;& 2\Phi_{00}\,L_A\,L_B + 2\,\Phi_{22}\,K_A\,K_B + 2\,\Phi_{02}\,M^*_A\,M^*_B + 2\,\Phi^*_{02}\,M_A\,M_B - \\
&-\; 2\,\Phi_{01}\,(L_A\,M^*_B + L_B\,M^*_A) - 2\,\Phi^*_{01}\,(L_A\,M_B + L_B\,M_A) - \\
&-\; 2\,\Phi_{12}\,(K_A\,M^*_B + K_B\,M^*_A) - 2\,\Phi^*_{12}\,(K_A\,M_B + K_B\,M_A) + \\
&+\; 2\,\Phi_{11}\,(L_A\,K_B + L_B\,K_A + M_A\,M^*_B + M_B\,M^*_A) + \\
&+\; 6\,\epsilon\,\Lambda\,[L_A\,K_B + L_B\,K_A - (M_A\,M^*_B + M_B\,M^*_A)],
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau\tau} \;=\;& (1 + n + \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)^2\,\Phi_{00} + (1 + n - \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)^2\,\Phi_{22} + \\
&+\; 2\,[(1+n)^2 - \bar{n}_{(a)}\,\bar{n}_{(a)}]\,(\Phi_{11} + 3\,\epsilon\,\Lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau r} \;=\;& \tilde{\phi}^{-1/3}\,Q^{-1}_a\,V_{ra}\,\Big[\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\,\Big((1 + n + \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi_{00} - (1 + n - \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi_{22} - \\
&-\; 2\,\sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,(\Phi_{11} + 3\,\epsilon\,\Lambda)\Big) + \\
&+\; \sqrt{2}\,\Big((1 + n + \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi^*_{01} - (1 + n - \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi^*_{12}\Big)\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)} + \\
&+\; \sqrt{2}\,\Big((1 + n + \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi_{01} - (1 + n - \sqrt{\sum_c \bar{n}^2_{(c)}}\,)\,\Phi_{12}\Big)\,\hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\Big],
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{rs} \;=\; & \tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\, Q_a\, Q_b\, V_{ra}\, V_{sb} \Big[\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, (\Phi_{00} + \Phi_{22}) + \\
& +\; 2\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)}\, \Phi_{02} + 2\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)}\, \Phi^*_{02} + \\
& +\; \sqrt{2}\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)} + \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)})\, (\Phi_{01} - \Phi_{12}) + \\
& +\; \sqrt{2}\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)} + \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)})\, (\Phi^*_{01} - \Phi^*_{12}) - \\
& -\; 2\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)} - \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)} - \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)})\, \Phi_{11} - \\
& -\; 6\, \epsilon\, \delta_{(a)(b)}\, \Lambda \Big]. \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of Eqs.(3.6) can now be written in the following form

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^4R_{\tau r \tau s} \;=\; & \bar{W}_{\tau r \tau s} + \epsilon\, (1+n)^2\, \tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\, Q_a\, Q_b\, V_{ra}\, V_{sb} \Big[\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, (\Phi_{00} + \Phi_{22}) + \\
& +\; 2\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)}\, \Phi_{02} + 2\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)}\, \Phi^*_{02} + \\
& +\; \sqrt{2}\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)} + \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)})\, (\Phi_{01} - \Phi_{12}) + \\
& +\; \sqrt{2}\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)} + \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)})\, (\Phi^*_{01} - \Phi^*_{12}) - \\
& -\; 2\, (\hat{\bar{n}}_{(a)}\, \hat{\bar{n}}_{(b)} - \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(a)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(b)} - \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(+)(b)}\, \hat{\bar{\epsilon}}_{(-)(a)})\, \Phi_{11} - \\
& -\; 6\, \epsilon\, \delta_{(a)(b)}\, \Lambda \Big], \qquad (4.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it can be written in terms of the null tetrad expressions of the energy-momentum tensor and of Einstein's equations by using Eq.(4.8).

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have found that in the framework of canonical ADM tetrad gravity in the York canonical basis there is a Hamiltonian radar tensor, which coincides with the 4-Riemann tensor on the solutions of Einstein's equations. Therefore "on-shell" there is a Hamiltonian 4-Riemann radar tensor, whose components are 4-scalars of the space-time due to the use of radar 4-coordinates.

Moreover, the 3+1 splitting of the space-time used to define the phase space allows us to introduce Hamiltonian null tetrads. This opens the way to get a Hamiltonian formulation of the Newman-Penrose formalism. We have given the 4-Ricci scalars as the sum of Einstein's equations and of terms depending on the Hamiltonian expression of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter.

In the second paper these results will be used to get the Hamiltonian expression of the 4-Weyl tensor, of the 4-Weyl scalars and of the four 4-Weyl eigenvalues. Moreover we will discuss the problem of the determination of the DO's and BO's of the gravitational field. The Weyl eigenvalues will be shown to be neither DO's nor BO's, but only the 4-scalars needed to give a physical identification as point-events of the mathematical points of the space-time 4-manifold [7].

Appendix A: The 3-Riemann and 3-Ricci Tensors in the York Canonical Basis

The 3-Riemann and 3-Ricci tensors sare

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3R^r{}_{suv} &= \partial_u\,{}^3\Gamma^r_{sv} - \partial_v\,{}^3\Gamma^r_{su} + {}^3\Gamma^h_{sv}\,{}^3\Gamma^r_{hu} - {}^3\Gamma^h_{su}\,{}^3\Gamma^r_{hv},\\
{}^3R_{rsuv} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_r\,\partial_u\,{}^3g_{sv} + \partial_s\,\partial_v\,{}^3g_{ru} - \partial_r\,\partial_v\,{}^3g_{su} - \partial_s\,\partial_u\,{}^3g_{rv}\right) +\\
&+ {}^3g_{hk}\left({}^3\Gamma^h_{rv}\,{}^3\Gamma^k_{su} - {}^3\Gamma^h_{ru}\,{}^3\Gamma^k_{sv}\right),\\
{}^3R_{rs} &= {}^3g^{uv}\,{}^3R_{urvs}, \qquad {}^3R = {}^3g^{rs}\,{}^3R_{rs},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3R_{rsuv} &= \frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{\phi}^{2/3}\,Q_a^2\\
&\quad\Big\{\ \frac{2}{3}\left[\left(\partial_r\,(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi})\,V_{sa} - \partial_s\,(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi})\,V_{ra}\right)V_{va} +\right.\\
&+ \left.\left(\partial_s\,(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi})\,V_{ra} - \partial_r\,(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi})\,V_{sa}\right)V_{ua}\right] +\\
&+ 2\left((\partial_r\,\partial_u\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a\,V_{sa} - \partial_s\,\partial_u\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a\,V_{ra})\,V_{va} + (\partial_s\,\partial_v\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a\,V_{ra} - \partial_r\,\partial_v\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a\,V_{sa})\,V_{ua}\right) +\\
&+ 4\left[(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_r\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\,V_{sa} - (\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_s\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\,V_{ra}\right]\\
&\quad\left[(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_u\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\,V_{va} - (\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_v\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\,V_{ua}\right] +\\
&+ 2\left[(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_r\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\left(\partial_u\,(V_{sa}\,V_{va}) - \partial_v\,(V_{sa}\,V_{ua})\right) +\right.\\
&+ \left.(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_s\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\left(\partial_v\,(V_{ra}\,V_{ua}) - \partial_u\,(V_{ra}\,V_{va})\right) +\right.\\
&+ \left.(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_u\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\left(\partial_r\,(V_{sa}\,V_{va}) - \partial_s\,(V_{ra}\,V_{va})\right) +\right.\\
&+ \left.(\frac{1}{3}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + \partial_v\,\Gamma^{(1)}_a)\left(\partial_s\,(V_{ra}\,V_{ua}) - \partial_r\,(V_{sa}\,V_{ua})\right)\right] +\\
&+ \partial_r\,\partial_u\,(V_{sa}\,V_{va}) - \partial_r\,\partial_v\,(V_{sa}\,V_{ua}) + \partial_s\,\partial_v\,(V_{ra}\,V_{ua}) - \partial_s\,\partial_u\,(V_{ra}\,V_{va}) -\\
&- \frac{1}{9}\left[(V_{ra}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{va}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi})\,(V_{sa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{ua}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi}) +\right.\\
&+ \left. Q_b^2\,Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-4}\,V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{sd}\,V_{ud}\,V_{ta}\,V_{wa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_w\,\tilde{\phi} -\right.\\
&- \left. Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{ta}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}\left(V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,(V_{ra}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{va}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi}) +\right.\right.\\
&+ \left.\left. V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,(V_{sa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{ua}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi})\right)\right] -\\
&- \frac{1}{3}\left[(V_{ra}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{va}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi})\right.\\
&\quad\left.\sum_{\bar{a}}\left[\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,(V_{sa}\,\partial_u\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{ua}\,\partial_s\,R_{\bar{a}}) - \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,V_{ta}\,\partial_t\,R_{\bar{a}}\right] +\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\ (V_{sa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{ua}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi}) \\
&\quad \sum_{\bar{a}} [\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,(V_{ra}\,\partial_v\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{va}\,\partial_r\,R_{\bar{a}}) - \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{ta}\,\partial_t\,R_{\bar{a}}] - \\
&-\ Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{ta}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}\,\sum_{\bar{a}}\,\gamma_{\bar{a}a} \\
&\quad [V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,(V_{sa}\,\partial_u\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{ua}\,\partial_s\,R_{\bar{a}}) + V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,(V_{ra}\,\partial_v\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{va}\,\partial_r\,R_{\bar{a}})] - \\
&-\ Q_b^2\,Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-4}\,\sum_{\bar{a}}\,\gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,[V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,V_{rd}\,V_{vd} + V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{sd}\,V_{ud}]\,V_{ta}\,V_{wa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}\,\partial_w\,R_{\bar{a}}\Big] - \\
&-\ \sum_{\bar{a}\bar{b}}\,\Big[\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,(V_{ra}\,\partial_v\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{va}\,\partial_r\,R_{\bar{a}}) - \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{ta}\,\partial_t\,R_{\bar{a}}\Big] \\
&\quad \Big[\gamma_{\bar{b}a}\,(V_{sa}\,\partial_u\,R_{\bar{b}} + V_{ua}\,\partial_s\,R_{\bar{b}}) - \gamma_{\bar{b}d}\,Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{sd}\,V_{ud}\,V_{wa}\,\partial_w\,R_{\bar{b}}\Big] - \\
&-\ \frac{1}{6}\,\Big[[V_{ra}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_v\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{va}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_r\,\tilde{\phi} - Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{ta}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}] \\
&\quad \Big[\partial_s\,V_{ua} + \partial_u\,V_{sa} + Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{wa}\,[V_{sd}\,(\partial_u\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{ud}) + V_{ud}\,(\partial_s\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{sd})]\Big] + \\
&+\ [V_{sa}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_u\,\tilde{\phi} + V_{ua}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_s\,\tilde{\phi} - Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,V_{ta}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\,\partial_t\,\tilde{\phi}] \\
&\quad \Big[\partial_r\,V_{va} + \partial_v\,V_{ra} + Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{wa}\,[V_{rd}\,(\partial_v\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{vd}) + V_{vd}\,(\partial_r\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{rd})]\Big]\Big] - \\
&-\ \frac{1}{2}\,\sum_{\bar{a}}\,\Big[[\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,(V_{ra}\,\partial_v\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{va}\,\partial_r\,R_{\bar{a}}) - \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{rb}\,V_{vb}\,V_{ta}\,\partial_t\,R_{\bar{a}}] \\
&\quad \Big[\partial_s\,V_{ua} + \partial_u\,V_{sa} + Q_d^2 Q_a^{-2}\,V_{wa}\,[V_{sd}\,(\partial_u\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{ud}) + V_{ud}\,(\partial_s\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{sd})]\Big] + \\
&+\ [\gamma_{\bar{a}a}\,(V_{sa}\,\partial_u\,R_{\bar{a}} + V_{ua}\,\partial_s\,R_{\bar{a}}) - \gamma_{\bar{a}b}\,Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{sb}\,V_{ub}\,V_{ta}\,\partial_t\,R_{\bar{a}}] \\
&\quad \Big[\partial_r\,V_{va} + \partial_v\,V_{ra} + Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{wa}\,[V_{rd}\,(\partial_v\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{vd}) + V_{vd}\,(\partial_r\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{rd})]\Big]\Big] - \\
&-\ \frac{1}{4}\,\Big[\partial_r\,V_{va} + \partial_v\,V_{ra} + Q_b^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{ta}\,[V_{rb}\,(\partial_v\,V_{tb} - \partial_t\,V_{vb}) + V_{vb}\,(\partial_r\,V_{tb} - \partial_t\,V_{rb})]\Big] \\
&\quad \Big[\partial_s\,V_{ua} + \partial_u\,V_{sa} + Q_d^2\,Q_a^{-2}\,V_{wa}\,[V_{sd}\,(\partial_u\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{ud}) + V_{ud}\,(\partial_s\,V_{wd} - \partial_w\,V_{sd})]\Big]\ \Big\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3R_{sv}\ =\ & -\epsilon\sum_{acd}\frac{Q_a^2}{Q_c^2 Q_d^2}\Big(\delta_{(c)(d)} - \frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\bar{n}_{(d)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\,\frac{1}{2} \\
& \Big\{\frac{2}{3}\Big[\Big(V_{rc}\partial_r(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})V_{sa} - \partial_s(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})\delta_{ac}\Big)V_{va}V_{ud} + \\
& +\Big(\partial_s(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})\delta_{ac} - V_{rc}\partial_r(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})V_{sa}\Big)\delta_{ad}\Big] + \\
& +2\Big((V_{rc}\partial_r\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a V_{sa} - \partial_s\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a\delta_{ac})V_{va}V_{ud} + (\partial_s\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a\delta_{ac} - V_{rc}\partial_r\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a V_{sa})\delta_{ad}V_{ud}\Big) +
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+4\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}+\partial_r\Gamma_a\Big)V_{rc}V_{sa}-\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi}+\partial_s\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\delta_{ac}\Big]\\
&\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}+\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)V_{va}V_{ud}-\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}+\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\delta_{ac}\Big]+\\
&+2V_{rc}V_{vd}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}+\partial_r\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\Big(\partial_u(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_v(V_{sa}V_{ua})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi}+\partial_s\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\Big(\partial_v(V_{ra}V_{ua}-\partial_u(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}+\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\Big(\partial_r(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_s(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}+\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\Big(\partial_s(V_{ra}V_{ua})-\partial_r(V_{va}V_{ua})\Big)\Big]+\\
&+V_{rc}V_{ud}\Big[\partial_r\partial_u(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_r\partial_v(V_{sa}V_{ua})+\partial_s\partial_v(V_{ra}V_{ua})-\partial_s\partial_u(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big]-\\
-\;&\frac{1}{9}\Big[\Big(\delta_{ac}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})+V_{rc}V_{va}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})\Big)\Big(V_{sa}V_{ud}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})+\delta_{ad}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big)+\\
+\;&\frac{Q_c^2Q_d^2}{Q_a^4}V_{vc}V_{sd}V_{ta}V_{wa}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_w\tilde{\phi})+\\
-\;&\frac{Q_b^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ta}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})\Big(V_{sb}V_{ub}(V_{ra}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}+V_{va}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})+V_{rb}V_{vb}(V_{sa}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}+V_{ua}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big)\Big]\\
-\;&\frac{1}{3}\Big[\Big(\delta_{ac}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})+V_{va}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})V_{rc}\Big)[V_{sa}V_{ud}\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a+\delta_{ad}\partial_s\Gamma^{(1)}_a-\frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}V_{sd}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma^{(1)}_a]+\\
&+\Big(V_{sa}V_{ud}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})+\delta_{ad}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big)[\delta_{ac}\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a+V_{va}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma^{(1)}_a-\frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}V_{vc}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma^{(1)}_a]+\\
&-\frac{Q_b^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ta}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})[\delta_{cb}(V_{ud}V_{sa}\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a+\delta_{da}\partial_s\Gamma^{(1)}_a)+\delta_{db}(\delta_{ac}\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a+V_{ud}V_{va}\partial_r\Gamma^{(1)}_a)]+\\
&-\frac{Q_c^2Q_d^2}{Q_a^4}\,2\Big((V_{sd}V_{vc})V_{ta}V_{wa}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})\partial_w\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big)\Big]+\\
-\;&\Big[\delta_{ac}\partial_v\Gamma^{(1)}_a+V_{va}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma^{(1)}_a-\frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}V_{vc}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma^{(1)}_a\Big]\Big[V_{sa}V_{ud}\partial_u\Gamma^{(1)}_a+\delta_{ad}\partial_s\Gamma^{(1)}_a-\frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ds}V_{wa}\partial_w\Gamma^{(1)}_d\Big]+\\
-\;&\frac{1}{6}\Big[\Big[\delta_{ac}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}\Big)+V_{va}V_{rc}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})-\frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}V_{vc}V_{ta}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi}\Big)\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{ud}\partial_sV_{ua}+V_{ud}\partial_uV_{sa}+\frac{Q_e^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[V_{ud}V_{se}(\partial_uV_{wd}-\partial_wV_{ue})+\delta_{de}(\partial_sV_{we}-\partial_wV_{se})]\Big]+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\Big[V_{sa}V_{ud}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}\Big)+\delta_{ad}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi}\Big)-\frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}V_{sd}V_{ta}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi}\Big)\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{rc}\partial_r V_{va}+V_{rc}\partial_v V_{ra}+\frac{Q_e^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[\delta_{ce}(\partial_v V_{we}-\partial_w V_{ve})+V_{rc}V_{ve}(\partial_r V_{we}-\partial_w V_{re})]\Big]\Big]\\
-\;&\frac{1}{2}\Big[\Big[\delta_{ca}\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)}+V_{ud}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)}-\frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}V_{vc}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_c^{(1)}\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{ud}\partial_s V_{ua}+V_{ud}\partial_u V_{sa}+\frac{Q_e^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ud}V_{wa}[V_{se}(\partial_u V_{we}-\partial_w V_{ue})+\delta_{de}(\partial_s V_{we}-\partial_w V_{se})]\Big]+\\
&+\Big[V_{ud}V_{sa}\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)}+\delta_{da}\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)}-\frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}V_{sd}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_d^{(1)}\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{rc}\partial_r V_{va}+V_{rc}\partial_v V_{ra}+\frac{Q_e^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[\delta_{ce}(\partial_v V_{we}-\partial_w V_{ve})+V_{rc}V_{ve}(\partial_r V_{we}-\partial_w V_{re})]\Big]\Big]+\\
-\;&\frac{1}{4}V_{rc}V_{ud}\Big[\partial_r V_{va}+\partial_v V_{ra}+\frac{Q_e^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ta}[V_{re}(\partial_v V_{te}-\partial_t V_{ve})+V_{ve}(\partial_r V_{te}-\partial_t V_{re})]\Big]\\
&\Big[\partial_s V_{ua}+\partial_u V_{sa}+\frac{Q_f^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[V_{sf}(\partial_u V_{wf}-\partial_w V_{uf})+V_{uf}(\partial_s V_{wf}-\partial_w V_{sf})]\Big]\Big\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}^3R\;=\;&\frac{1}{2}\,\tilde{\phi}^{-2/3}\sum_a Q_a^2\sum_{cd}Q_c^{-2}Q_d^{-2}\Big(\delta_{(c)(d)}-\frac{\bar{n}_{(c)}\bar{n}_{(d)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\sum_{ef}Q_e^{-2}Q_f^{-2}\Big(\delta_{(e)(f)}-\frac{\bar{n}_{(e)}\bar{n}_{(f)}}{(1+n)^2}\Big)\\
&\Big\{\;\frac{2}{3}\Big[V_{rc}\delta_{ae}\Big(\partial_r(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})\delta_{af}V_{ud}-\partial_r(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})\delta_{ad}V_{vf}\Big)+\\
&+V_{se}\delta_{ac}\Big(\partial_s(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\delta_{ad}V_{vf}-\partial_s(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})\delta_{af}V_{ud}\Big)\Big]+\\
&+2\,V_{ud}V_{vf}\Big(V_{rc}\delta_{ae}(\delta_{af}\partial_r\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)}-\delta_{ad}\partial_r\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)})+V_{se}\delta_{ac}(\delta_{ad}\partial_s\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)}-\delta_{af}\partial_s\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)})\Big)+\\
&+4\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})+\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\delta_{ae}V_{rc}-\Big(\frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})+\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\delta_{ac}V_{se}\Big]\\
&\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi})+\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\delta_{fa}V_{ud}-\Big(\frac{1}{3}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})+\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\delta_{ac}V_{vf}\Big]+\\
&+2V_{se}V_{vf}V_{rc}V_{vd}\Big[\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}+\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\Big(\partial_u(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_v(V_{sa}V_{ua})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi}+\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\Big(\partial_v(V_{ra}V_{ua}-\partial_u(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}+\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\Big(\partial_r(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_s(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big)+\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{3}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}+\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\Big(\partial_s(V_{ra}V_{ua})-\partial_r(V_{va}V_{ua})\Big)\Big]+\\
&+V_{se}V_{vf}V_{rc}V_{ud}\Big[\partial_r\partial_u(V_{sa}V_{va})-\partial_r\partial_v(V_{sa}V_{ua})+\partial_s\partial_v(V_{ra}V_{ua})-\partial_s\partial_u(V_{ra}V_{va})\Big]+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{1}{9}\Big[\Big(V_{vf}\delta_{ac}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}) + V_{rc}\delta_{af}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})\Big)\Big(\delta_{ae}V_{ud}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}) + \delta_{ad}V_{se}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big) + \qquad \text{(A1)}\\
&+\frac{Q_c^2Q_d^2}{Q_a^4}\delta_{cf}\delta_{ed}V_{ta}V_{wa}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_w\tilde{\phi}) +\\
&-\frac{Q_b^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ta}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi})\Big(\delta_{eb}V_{ub}(V_{ra}V_{vf}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi} + \delta_{af}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}) + V_{rb}\delta_{bf}(\delta_{ae}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi} + V_{se}V_{ua}\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big)\Big] +\\
&- \frac{1}{3}\Big[\Big(V_{vf}\delta_{ac}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}) + \delta_{af}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi})V_{rc}\Big)[\delta_{ae}V_{ud}\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)} + \delta_{ad}V_{se}\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)} - \frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{de}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_a^{(1)}] +\\
&+\Big(\delta_{ae}V_{ud}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}) + \delta_{ad}V_{se}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi})\Big)[\delta_{ac}V_{vf}\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)} + \delta_{af}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)} - \frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{cf}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_a^{(1)}] +\\
&-\frac{Q_c^2Q_d^2}{Q_a^4}\,2\Big(\delta_{cf}\delta_{ed}V_{ta}V_{wa}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi})\partial_w\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big)\Big] +\\
&- \Big[V_{vf}\delta_{ac}\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)} + \delta_{af}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)} - \frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{cf}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_a^{(1)}\Big]\Big[\delta_{ea}V_{ud}\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)} + V_{se}\delta_{ad}\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)} - \frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{ed}V_{wa}\partial_w\Gamma_d^{(1)}\Big] +\\
&- \frac{1}{6}\Big[\Big[\delta_{ac}V_{vf}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_v\tilde{\phi}\Big)V_{ud} + \delta_{af}V_{rc}V_{ud}(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_r\tilde{\phi}) - \frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{cf}V_{ud}V_{ta}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi}\Big)\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{se}\partial_sV_{ua} + V_{se}\partial_vV_{sa} + \frac{Q_h^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[\delta_{eh}(\partial_uV_{wd} - \partial_wV_{uh}) + V_{se}V_{uh}(\partial_sV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{se})]\Big] +\\
&+\Big[\delta_{ae}V_{rc}V_{ud}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_u\tilde{\phi}\Big) + V_{se}\delta_{ad}V_{rc}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_s\tilde{\phi}\Big) - \frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{de}V_{rc}V_{ta}\Big(\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\partial_t\tilde{\phi}\Big)\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{vf}\partial_rV_{va} + V_{vf}\partial_vV_{ra} + \frac{Q_h^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[V_{rh}V_{vf}(\partial_vV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{vh}) + \delta_{fh}(\partial_rV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{rh})]\Big]\Big] +\\
&- \frac{1}{2}\Big[\Big[\delta_{ca}V_{vf}V_{vd}\partial_v\Gamma_a^{(1)} + \delta_{da}V_{vf}V_{rc}\partial_r\Gamma_a^{(1)} - \frac{Q_c^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{cd}V_{vf}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_c^{(1)}\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{se}\partial_sV_{ua} + V_{se}\partial_uV_{sa} + \frac{Q_h^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[\delta_{de}(\partial_uV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{uh}) + V_{se}V_{ud}(\partial_sV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{sh})]\Big] +\\
&+\Big[V_{rc}V_{ud}(\delta_{ae}\partial_u\Gamma_a^{(1)} + V_{ua}V_{se}\partial_s\Gamma_a^{(1)}) - \frac{Q_d^2}{Q_a^2}\delta_{de}V_{rc}V_{ta}\partial_t\Gamma_d^{(1)}\Big]\\
&\Big[V_{vf}\partial_rV_{va} + V_{vf}\partial_vV_{ra} + \frac{Q_h^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[V_{rh}V_{vf}(\partial_vV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{vh}) + \delta_{fh}(\partial_rV_{wh} - \partial_wV_{rh})]\Big]\Big] +\\
&- \frac{1}{4}V_{rc}V_{ud}V_{se}V_{vf}\Big[\partial_rV_{va} + \partial_vV_{ra} + \frac{Q_h^2}{Q_a^2}V_{ta}[V_{rh}(\partial_vV_{th} - \partial_tV_{vh}) + V_{ve}(\partial_rV_{th} - \partial_tV_{rh})]\Big]\\
&\Big[\partial_sV_{ua} + \partial_uV_{sa} + \frac{Q_i^2}{Q_a^2}V_{wa}[V_{si}(\partial_uV_{wi} - \partial_wV_{ui}) + V_{ui}(\partial_sV_{wi} - \partial_wV_{si})]\Big]\Big\}. \qquad \text{(A2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

[1] Arnowitt R., Deser S. and Misner C.W., *The Dynamics of General Relativity*, ch.7 of *Gravitation: an Introduction to Current Research*, ed. Witten L. (Wiley, New York, 1962)(arXiv gr-qc/0405109).

[2] Dirac P.A.M., *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science, Monographs Series (Yeshiva University, New York, N.Y., 1964).
Dirac P.M.A., *Gauge Invariant Formulation of Quantum Electrodynamics*, Can.J.Phys. **33**, 650 (1955).
Henneaux M. and Teitelboim C., *Quantization of Gauge Systems* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1992).

[3] S.Shanmugadhasan, *Canonical Formalism for Degenerate Lagrangians*, J.Math.Phys. **14**, 677 (1973).
L.Lusanna, *The Shanmugadhasan Canonical Transformation, Function Groups and the Second Noether Theorem*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A8**, 4193 (1993); *The Relevance of Canonical Transformations in Gauge Theories and General Relativity*, Lecture Notes of "Seminario Interdisciplinare di Matematica" (Basilicata Univ.) **5**, 125 (2006).
. Chaichian M., Louis Martinez D. and Lusanna L., *Dirac's Constrained Systems: the Classification of Second-Class Constraints*, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) **232**, 40 (1994).

[4] Dittrich B., *Partial and Complete Observables for Hamiltonian Constrained Systems*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **39**, 1891 (2007) (arXiv gr-qc/0411013) and *Partial and Complete Observables for Canonical General Relativity*, **23**, 6155 (2006) (arXiv gr-qc/0507106).
Thiemann T., *Reduced Phase Space Quantization and Dirac Observables*, Class.Quantum Grav. **23**, 1163 (2006) (arXiv gr-qc/0411031).
J.M.Pons, D.C.Salisbury and K.A.Sundermeyer, *Revisiting Observables in Generally Covariant Theories in the Light of Gauge Fixing Methods*, Phys.Rev. **D80**, 084015 (2009) (0905.4564); *Observables in Classical Canonical Gravity: Folklore Demystified.* (arXiv 1001.2726).

[5] P.G.Bergmann, *Observables in general relativity*, Review of Modern Physics **33**, 510 (1961);*The general theory of relativity*, in S.Flugge (ed.), *Handbuch der Physik*, Vol. IV, *Principles of electrodynamics and relativity*, p. 247 (Berlin: Springer, 1962).
P.G.Bergmann and A.Komar, *Poisson brackets between locally defined observables in general relativity*, Physical Review Letters **4**, 432 (1960); *The coordinate group symmetries of general relativity*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics **5**, 15 (1972).

[6] L.Lusanna and M.Pauri, *The Physical Role of Gravitational and Gauge Degrees of Freedom in General Relativity. I: Dynamical Synchronization and Generalized Inertial Effects; II: Dirac versus Bergmann Observables and the Objectivity of Space-Time*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **38**, 187 and 229 (2006) (arXiv gr-qc/0403081 and 0407007).

[7] L.Lusanna and M.Pauri, *General Covariance and the Objectivity of Space-Time Point-Events*, talk at the Oxford Conference on Spacetime Theory (2004) (arXiv gr-qc/0503069); *Explaining Leibniz equivalence as difference of non-inertial Appearances: Dis-solution of the Hole Argument and physical individuation of point-events*, History and Philosophy of Modern Physics **37**, 692 (2006) (arXiv gr-qc/0604087); *Dynamical Emergence of Instantaneous 3-Spaces in a Class of Models of General Relativity*, in *Relativity and the Dimensionality of the World*, ed. V.Petkov (Springer Series Fundamental Theories of Physics, Berlin, 2007) (arXiv gr-

qc/0611045).

[8] L.Lusanna and S.Russo, *A New Parametrization for Tetrad Gravity*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 189-242 (2002) (arXiv gr-qc/0102074).

[9] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *Charged Particles and the Electro-Magnetic Field in Non-Inertial Frames: I. Admissible 3+1 Splittings of Minkowski Spacetime and the Non-Inertial Rest Frames*, Int.J.Geom.Methods in Physics **7**, 33 (2010) (arXiv 0908.0213) and *II. Applications: Rotating Frames, Sagnac Effect, Faraday Rotation, Wrap-up Effect (arXiv 0908.0215)*, Int.J.Geom.Methods in Physics, **7**, 185 (2010).

[10] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *Generalized Radar 4-Coordinates and Equal-Time Cauchy Surfaces for Arbitrary Accelerated Observers* (2005), Int.J.Mod.Phys. **D16**, 1149 (2007) (arXiv gr-qc/0501090).

[11] Bondi H., *Assumption and Myth in Physical Theory* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1967).
D'Inverno R., *Introducing Einstein Relativity* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992).

[12] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *The York Map as a Shanmugadhasan Canonical Transformationn in Tetrad Gravity and the Role of Non-Inertial Frames in the Geometrical View of the Gravitational Field*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **39**, 2149 (2007) (gr-qc/0604086, v2).

[13] J.W.York jr, *Gravitational Degrees of Freedom and the Initial Value Problem*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **26**, 1656 (1971); *Role of Conformal Three Geometry in the Dynamics of Gravitation*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **28**, 1082 (1972); *Kinematics and Dynamics of General Relativity*, in *Sources of Gravitational Radiation*, Battelle-Seattle Workshop 1978, ed.L.L.Smarr (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1979).
A.Qadir and J.A.Wheeler, *York's Cosmic Time Versus Proper Time*, in *From SU(3) to Gravity*, Y.Ne'eman's festschrift, eds. E.Gotsma and G.Tauber (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1985).

[14] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *The Einstein-Maxwell-Particle System in the York Canonical Basis of ADM Tetrad Gravity: I) The Equations of Motion in Arbitrary Schwinger Time Gauges.*, 2009 (arXiv 0907.4087) Canad.J.Phys. **90**, 1017 (2012).

[15] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *The Einstein-Maxwell-Particle System in the York Canonical Basis of ADM Tetrad Gravity: II) The Weak Field Approximation in the 3-Orthogonal Gauges and Hamiltonian Post-Minkowskian Gravity: the N-Body Problem and Gravitational Waves with Asymptotic Background.*, (1003.5143) Canad.J.Phys. **90**, 1077 (2012).

[16] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *The Einstein-Maxwell-Particle System in the York Canonical Basis of ADM Tetrad Gravity: III) The Post-Minkowskian N-Body Problem, its Post-Newtonian Limit in Non-Harmonic 3-Orthogonal Gauges and Dark Matter as an Inertial Effect*, (1009.1794) Canad.J.Phys. **90**, 1131 (2012).

[17] J.Stewart, *Advanced General Relativity* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993).

[18] L.Lusanna, *From Clock Synchronization to Dark Matter as a Relativistic Inertial Effect*, Lecture at the *Black Objects in Supergravity* School BOSS2011, Frascati, 9-13 May 2011 (arXiv 1205.2481), Springer Proc.Phys. **144**, pp.267-343 (Spinger, Berlin, 2013).

[19] C.W.Misner, K.S.Thorne and J.A.Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).

[20] R.M.Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago, 1984).

[21] R.De Pietri, L.Lusanna, L.Martucci and S.Russo, *Dirac's Observables for the Rest-Frame Instant Form of Tetrad Gravity in a Completely Fixed 3-Orthogonal Gauge*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **34**, 877-1033 (2002) (gr-qc/0105084).

[22] J.Isenberg and J.E.Marsden, *The York Map is a Canonical Transformation*, J.Geom.Phys. **1**,

85 (1984).

[23] L.Lusanna, *The Rest-Frame Instant Form of Metric Gravity*, Gen.Rel.Grav. **33**, 1579-1696 (2001) (gr-qc/0101048).

[24] D.Alba and L.Lusanna, *Dust in the York Canonical Basis of ADM Tetrad Gravity: the Problem of Vorticity* (arXiv 1106.0403).

[25] R.A.d'Inverno and J.Stachel, J.Math.Phys. **19**, 2447 (1978).

[26] R.d'Inverno, *2+2 Formalism and Applications*, in *Relativistic Gravitation and Gravitational Radiation*, Les Houches 1995, eds. J.A.Marck and J.P.Lasota (Cambridge Univ.Press, Cambridge, 1997).
R.d'Inverno and J.Smallwood, Phys.Rev. **D22**, 1233 (1980).
J.Smallwood, J.Math.Phys. **24**, 599 (1983).
C.G.Torre, Class.Quantum Grav. **3**, 773 (1986).
S.A.Hayward, Class.Quantum Grav. **10**, 779 (1993).

[27] D.Christodoulou and S.Klainerman, *The Global Nonlinear Stability of the Minkowski Space*. (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993).

[28] D.Bini and L.Lusanna, *From 1+3 to 3+1, (2+1)+1 and 2+2 Splittings of Spacetime*, in preparation.